REPORT

ON

CRIMINAL JUSTICE

IN THE

HYDERABAD ASSIGNED DISTRICTS

FOR

1891.

By R. OBBARD, Esq., C. S.,

OFFG. JUDICIAL COMMISSIONER, HYDERABAD ASSIGNED DISTRICTS.

XIII B 23

Hyderabad:

PRINTED AT THE RESIDENCY GOVERNMENT PRESS.

1892.

Price 1 Rupee per copy.

BENGAL SECRETARIAT
LIBRARY.

No. 909 of 1892.

From

F. S. BULLOCK, Esq, C.S.,

Judicial Commissioner, Hyderabad Assigned Districts,

To

The SECRETARY for BERAR to the RESIDENT,

Hyderabad.

Dated Amraoti, the 12th May 1892.

SIR,

I have the honor to submit the Reports on Criminal and Civil* Justice in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts for the year 1891, and to state that Mr. Obbard had drawn up these reports before making over charge of this office and I therefore forward them as compiled by him.

* The Civil Justice Report has been separately printed and published.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

F. S. BULLOCK,

Judicial Commissioner,

Hyderabad Assigned Districts.

TABLE OF CONTENTS.

INTRODUCTORY.

	Para.
Annual Report on Criminal Justice submitted	1
Tribunals	2

PART I.— ORIGINAL JURISDICTION.

A.—*General review of offences committed and brought to trial.*

Number of offences reported, admitted to have occurred, and brought to trial ...	3
Remarks on the increase of reported crime	4
Complaints summarily dismissed	5
District figures regarding summary dismissals	6
Comparison of dismissals in Ellichpur during 1890 and 1891	7
Cases struck off	8

B.— *Classification of offences.*

Classification of offences returned as true	9
Increase or decrease of crime	10
Comparative statement of heinous crime	11
Remarks on the above statement	12
Details regarding the murder and dakaiti cases tried	13

C.—*Miscellaneous proceedings under the Criminal Procedure Code.*

Miscellaneous proceedings	14
for good behaviour	15
on working in districts under Chapter VIII	...16 &17
vexatious complaints summarily dealt with	18
nces	19

D.—*Result of trials.*

Results of trials in Magistrate's courts...	20
Proportion of convictions under the principal headings of offences	21
Comments on the proportion of convictions in districts	22

E.—*Agency for disposal of work.*

Distribution of work	23
Cases disposed of by Magistrates of districts	24
Work done by Assistant Commissioners and Tahsildars	25

F.—*Special jurisdiction of Magistrates.*

Jurisdiction under section 30, Criminal Procedure Code	26
Summary trials	27
Trials of European British subjects	28

G.—*Original work of the Sessions Court.*

Sessions trials	29
Pending cases	30
Trials with assessors	31
Trials of European British subjects	32
Average duration	33
References	34

H.—*Witnesses.*

Attendance of witnesses	35
Detention of witnesses	...36 & 37

PART II.—PUNISHMENTS.

Details of punishments inflicted by the Courts	38
Transportation...	39
Other punishments	40

Degrees of punishments ... ... 41 to 44
Comparative statement of punishments 45
Fine 46
Imprisonment 47
Whipping 48
Solitary confinement 49
Simple imprisonment 50
Habitual offenders punished 51
Habitual offenders tried in the Court of Sessions 52
Habitual offenders dealt with by District Magistrates ... ... 53 to 57
Amount of fines imposed, realized and relinquished and the balance outstanding ... 58

PART III.—APPELLATE JURISDICTION OF THE JUDICIAL COMMISSIONER'S COURTS, THE SESSIONS JUDGE AND OF MAGISTRATES.

Business for disposal 59
Details of disposal 60
Result of appeals 61
Summary rejections and sentences altered 62
Revision business 63
Remarks on the above 64
Pending file and average duration 65
Result satisfactory 66

PART IV.—PROCEDURE.

Number of cases called for and inspected 67
Remarks on inspection of the proceedings of Subordinate Magistrates 68
Inspection of courts by Judicial Commissioner 69
Inspection reports sent by District Magistrates 70
Office of Judicial Commissioner ... ...
Notice of officers ... ...

BY ORDER OF THE GOVERNMENT OF BENGAL * FORWARDED *
BENGAL SECRETARIAT LIBRARY.

No. 736 of 1892.

From

R. OBBARD, Esq., C.S.,

Officiating Judicial Commissioner,

Hyderabad Assigned Districts,

To

The SECRETARY for BERAR to the RESIDENT,

Hyderabad.

Dated Amraoti, 15th April 1892.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit the Report on the administration of Criminal Justice in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts for the year 1891 with the prescribed returns, and to forward the reports received from the Deputy Commissioners and from the Civil and Sessions Judge for the information of the Resident.

Annual Report on Criminal Justice submitted.

2. The following statement shows the effective strength of the magistracy at the close of 1891 as compared with the year 1890 :—

Tribunals.

Table I.

Districts.		Stipendiary Magistrates.					Benches of Magistrates.	Total.
		Dstrict Magistrates.	1st Class Magistrates.	2nd Class Magistrates.	3rd Class Magistrates.	Special Magistrates.		
1		2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Akola	1890...	1	5	3	2	2	2	15
	1891...	1	5	3	3	2	2	16
Buldana	1890...	1	2	3	1	2	...	9
	1891...	1	3	2	2	2	...	10
Basim	1890...	1	3	3	1	3	...	11
	1891...	1	2	3	1	2	...	9
Amraoti	1890...	1	5	4	1	4	...	15
	1891 .	1	5	5	...	4	...	15
Ellichpur	1890...	1	3	2	2	4	...	12
	1891...	1	2	2	2	4	...	11
Wun	1890..	1	3	4	1	1	...	10
	1891...	1	3	3	2	1	...	10
Total	1890...	6	21	19	8	16	2	72
	1891...	6	20	18	10	15	2	71

There is practically no difference in the effective strength of the magistracy. The Special Magistrate at Basim was on leave at the end of the year.

PART I.—ORIGINAL JURISDICTION.

A.—*General review of offences committed and brought to trial.*

3. The following table compares the number of offences reported, the number of cases dismissed under section 203, the number of cases struck off as false and accepted as true, and the number actually brought to trial in the years 1890 and 1891 :—

Number of offences reported, admitted to have occurred, and brought to trial.

TABLE II.

Districts.	Offences reported.				Cases dismissed under section 203 C. P. C.					Cases struck off as false.					Balance returned as true.			Cases brought to trial.		
	1890.	1891.	Increase or decrease.	Percentage.	1890.	1891.	Increase or decrease.	Percentage on offences reported.		1890.	1891.	Increase or decrease.	Percentage on offences reported		1890.	1891.	Increase or decrease.	1890.	1891.	Increase or decrease.
								1890.	1891.				1890.	1891.						
Akola ...	4,296	4,295	—1		894	740	—154	20·8	17·2	186	228	+ 42	4·3	5·3	3,216	3,327	+111	2,707	2,855	+148
Buldana ...	2,766	3,078	+312	+11·2	402	390	— 12	14·5	12·6	183	216	+ 33	6·6	7·	2,181	2,472	+291	1,973	2,219	+246
Basim ...	2,369	2,138	—231	— 9·7	212	228	+ 16	8·9	10·6	72	19	— 53	3·	·9	2,085	1,891	—194	1,856	1,626	—230
Amraoti ...	4,360	4,595	+235	+ 5·4	1,023	770	—253	23·4	16·9	180	230	+ 50	4·1	5·	3,157	3,595	+438	2,773	3,004	+231
Ellichpur ...	2,129	2,296	+167	+ 7·8	350	647	+297	16·4	28·2	72	99	+ 27	3·3	4·3	1,707	1,550	—157	1,504	1,343	—161
Wun ...	2,168	2,321	+153	+ 7·	328	319	— 9	15·1	13·8	107	186	+ 79	5·	8·	1,733	1,816	+ 83	1,191	1,258	+ 67
Civil & Sessions Judge	...	1	+ 1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	+ 1	...	1	+ 1
Total...	18,088	18,724	+636	+ 3·5	3,209	3,094	—115	17·7	16·5	800	978	+178	4·4	5·2	14,079	14,652	+573	12,004	12,306	+ 302

4. The number of offences reported increased from 18,088 to 18,724, an increase of 636 offences or 3·5 per cent. The increase should be attributed to annual variations alone. There was in 1890 a decrease of 1,013 offences. The increase in the Buldana, Amroati and Ellichpur districts follow on decreases. The decrease in the Basim district follows on an increase. The Wun district is the only one which showed an increase both in 1890 and 1891.

Remarks on the increase of reported crime.

5. There is a decrease in the number of cases dismissed under section 203, Criminal Procedure Code, in the Akola and Amraoti districts. In both these districts the percentage of cases so dismissed was high in 1890, and the decrease need not engage attention. There is a very considerable increase in the Ellichpur district, where the percentage of dismissal has risen from 16·4 to 28·2. The increase in that district has been sufficient to convert an increase under offences reported into a decrease under balance returned as true. In regard to this increase the Deputy Commissioner, Ellichpur, writes as follows :—

Complaints summarily dismissed.

"Of the total number of offences reported, 28·2 per cent. against 16·4 per cent. in 1890 were struck off as complaints in which there was no sufficient ground for proceeding. This result is no doubt satisfactory, as it shows that greater care was taken by the magistracy in making the preliminary enquiry necessary for determining whether there were sufficient grounds for taking action; the percentage of conviction during the year under report being 59·5 against 48·2 in 1890."

6. In order to compare the action of different districts under this section, I have had the following abstract prepared. The abstract deals with those side heads in which dismissals under section 203, Criminal Procedure Code, are most common.

District figures regarding summary dismissals.

TABLE III.

Name of district.	Offences reported under side heads 31, 32, 34, 59, 62, 73 and Act I of 1870.	Cases dismissed under section 203 under the same side heads.	Persons brought to trial less pending and escaped under the same side heads.	Persons convicted under the same side heads.	Percentage of column 2 to column 1.	Percentage of column 4 to column 3.
Akola	2,267	557	3,460	609	24·5	17·5
Buldana	1,204	277	2,005	226	23·	11·
Basim	695	181	1,089	218	26·	20·
Amraoti	2,036	590	2,840	559	29·	20·
Ellichpur	913	585	675	174	64·	25·
Wun	632	43	697	156	38·	22·
Total ...	7,747	2,433	10,766	1,942	31·4	18·

This abstract gives 31·4 as the provincial percentage of dismissals to offences reported, and 18 as the percentage of convictions to persons tried under these side heads. As compared with the provincial percentage the proportion of dismissals in the Ellichpur district appears to have been abnormally high.

7. A more detailed statement compares the figures for each of the side heads already selected for the years 1890 and 1891 for the Ellichpur district alone.

Comparison of dismissals in Ellichpur during 1890 and 1891.

TABLE IV.

	Offences reported.		Cases dismissed.		Percentage.		Persons under trial.		Persons convicted.		Percentage.	
	1890	1891	1890	1891	1890	1891	1890	1891	1890	1891	1890	1891
Side head 31 ...	83	88	9	26	10·8	29·5	176	117	54	26	30·6	22·2
Do. 32 ...	46	63	43	56	93·4	88·9	7	9	5	7	71·4	77·7
Do. 34 ...	550	531	143	323	26·	62·7	945	486	130	124	13·7	25·5
Do. 59 ...	17	45	2	40	11·8	88·8	17	5	4	1	23·5	20·
Do. 62 ...	71	104	33	82	46·4	78·8	59	33	12	6	23·3	18·
Do. 73 ...	26	26	19	20	73·	76·8	8	5	8	...	100	...
Cattle trespass ...	63	56	16	38	25·3	67·8	125	20	21	10	16·8	50·
Total ...	856	913	265	585	30·	64·	1,337	675	234	174	17·	25·

My conclusion is that too many cases were dismissed under section 203, Criminal Procedure Code, in the Ellichpur district. The percentage of dismissals against these side heads was raised from 30 to 64, and though the percentage of convictions was a little raised, the final result was that 174 persons were convicted as against 234 in the previous year.

With more complaints only half the number of persons were tried, and while it is probable that many a false complaint was rightly dismissed it may be assumed that some true complaints were improperly rejected. As far as I know, there is no reason to think that the complaints filed in the Ellichpur district in 1891 were more false than those filed in the previous year, or that the Ellichpur magistrates have better aptitude for distinguishing false complaints from true than the magistracy of the province generally.

Cases struck off.

8. The number off cases struck off as false has increased in all districts except Basim. In that district, only 19 cases were struck off as false during the year. This number seems very small. The number struck off depends very much on the District Superintendent and the District Magistrate. The District Magistrate of Basim writes :—

"The District Magistrate alone can rule a complaint to the police to be false, and he is very careful in every instance in scrutinizing each case before passing orders. He might, I think, be trusted to discharge this duty efficiently."

Judging from the figures alone, the question is whether the District Magistrate of Basim has not been too careful.

B.—*Classification of offences.*

Classification of offences returned as true.

9. The subjoined table abstracted from Judicial Statement No. 2 compares the various classes of offences returned as true during 1890 and 1891 :—

TABLE V.

—	Akola.			Buldana.			Basim.			Amraoti.			Ellichpur.			Wun.			Civil and Sessions Judge.			Total			
	1890.	1891.	Increase or decrease.	1890.	1891.	Increase or decrease.	1890.	1891.	Increase or decrease.	1890.	1891.	Increase or decrease.	1890.	1891.	Increase or decrease.	1890.	1891.	Increase or decrease.	1890.	1891.	Increase or decrease.	1890.	1891.	Increase.	Decrease.
Offences against the State	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...
Offences relating to the Army and Navy	...	...	...		...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...
Offences against public tranquillity	36	49	+13	6	9	+3	19	11	—8	43	33	—10	11	1	+5	22	19	—3	..	...	...	137	137	...	...
Offences relating to public servants	2	4	+2		2	+2	4	5	+1	3	6	+3	1	3	+2	1	3	+2	...	...	...	11	23	12	...
Contempts of the lawful authority of servants	26	33	+7	71	92	+21	48	31	—17	34	50	+16	11	17	+6	7	16	+9	...	...	...	197	239	42	...
False evidence and offences against public justice	14	24	+10	10	3	—7	16	19	+3	8	13	+5	11	7	—4	13	14	+1	...	1	+1	72	81	9	...
Offences relating to coin and Government stamps	13	2	—11	6	3	—3	6	2	—4	2	5	+3	1	3	+2	4	1	—3	...	...	...	32	16	...	16
Offences relating to weights and measures	7	15	+8	1	3	+2	2	14	+12	5	6	+1	4	2	—2	4	4	...	...	...	...	23	44	21	...
Offences affecting the public health, safety, convenience, decency and morals	13	42	+29	79	47	—32	86	286	+200	82	107	+25	20	18	—2	5	4	—1	...	...	...	285	504	219	...
Offences relating to religion ...	4	3	—1	1	...	—1	2	...	—2	...	7	+7	2	1	—1	6	3	—3	...	...	...	15	14	...	1
Total ..	115	172	+57	174	159	—15	183	368	+185	177	227	+50	61	67	+6	62	64	+2	...	1	+1	772	1,058	303	17
Offences affecting human body ...	1,379	1,398	+19	642	700	+58	456	399	—57	1,142	1,218	+76	505	286	—219	313	329	+16	..	...	...	4,437	4,330	...	107
Do, against property ...	922	955	+33	612	672	+60	566	523	—43	972	1,166	+194	382	381	—1	787	856	+69	...	...	...	4,241	4,553	312	...
Do, not included in the above..	40	37	—3	31	21	—10	31	23	—8	80	73	—7	16	24	+8	23	18	—5	...	...	...	221	196	...	25
Do. under special and local laws	760	765	+5	722	920	+198	849	578	—271	786	911	+125	743	792	+49	548	549	+1	...	...	...	4,408	4,515	107	...
Total ...	3,101	3,155	+54	2,007	2,313	+306	1,902	1,523	—379	2,980	3,368	+	1,646	1,483	—163	1,671	1,752	+81	...	...	...	13,307	13,594	419	132
Grand Total ...	3,216	3,327	+111	2,181	2,472	+291	2,085	1,891	—194	3,157	3,598	+438	1,707	1,550	—157	1,733	1,816	+	...	1	+1	14,079	14,652	722	149
																								Net increase ...	573

Increase or decrease of crime.

10. The noticeable points appear to be (1) the increase of 200 cases in offences affecting public health in the Basim district;

(2) the decrease of 219 cases in offences affecting the human body in the Ellichpur district;

(3) the increase of 194 in offences against property in the Amraoti district;

(4) the increase of 198 offences under special and local laws in the Buldana district;

(5) the increase of 125 cases under the same head in the Amraoti district; and

(6) the decrease of 271 offences under the same head in the Basim district.

The explanation of (1) and (6) appears to be that the Magistrates have been more careful in distinguishing cases under section 34 of the Police Act from cases under section 290 of the Indian Penal Code.

The explanation of (2) has been already given. The large number of cases struck off against side heads 31 and 34 has reduced the number of cases returned as true.

The explanation of (3) is that there has been an increase of 44 against side head 43, and 156 against side head 61. The Deputy Commissioner, Amraoti, does not give any reason for these increases.

As to (4), the Deputy Commissioner, Buldana, says:—

"The great rise in offences under the Special and Local Laws is due to the increase by 187 in the offences under the Police Act, the provisions of which were strictly enforced for sanitary purposes."

In regard to (5), a comparison of Provincial Statement No. I for the two years shows that the increase is chiefly due to an increase of 65 offences against Forest and 49 cases under the Police Act.

The district report is again silent as to the cause of increase.

Comparative statement of heinous crime.

11. The subjoined table shows the fluctuation in serious offences against person and property in the years 1890 and 1891:—

TABLE VI.

	1890.	1891.	Increase.	Decrease.
Offences against the human body.				
Murder	25	40	15	...
Attempt at murder	15	5	...	10
Hurt with aggravating circumstances	75	91	16	...
Kidnapping or forcible abduction	3	1	...	2
Rape	10	8	...	2
Unnatural offences	1	11	10	...
Offences against property.				
Theft with aggravating circumstances	3	3	...	...
Other cases of theft	2,223	2,312	89	...
Robbery excluding attempts	46	52	6	...
Dacoity with murder	1	1	...	...
Dacoity with attempt to cause death or grievous hurt	2	10	8	...
Other cases of dacoity	22	28	6	...

Remarks on the above statement.

12. Of the 40 cases of murder, 9 are credited to the Akola district, 6 to the Buldana, 3 to the Basim, 10 to the Amraoti, 2 to the Ellichpur, and 10 to the Wun district.

The Deputy Commissioner, Amraoti, suspects that a gang of murderers is going about the province and has communicated his suspicions to the District Superintendent of Police. Of the 39 dacoity cases, 4 occurred in Akola, 5 in Buldana, 15 in Basim, 2 in Amraoti and 6 in Wun.

The increase in dacoities with and without attempt to cause murder deserves notice.

The district reports give no details in regard to the facts of these cases. Probably the information is reserved for the police report.

Details regarding the murder and dacoity cases tried.

13. Details regarding a few remarkable murder and dacoity cases which were tried during the year are given below.

There was a remarkable murder case from the Akola district. A patelni and her accomplices effected a human sacrifice in the course of a search for treasure.

During a dacoity in the Wun district a village was accidentally set on fire up and [illegible]e dacoits, who poured kerosine oil out of a tin on to burning straw to obtain [illegible].

In one case, in the Basim district, the villagers headed by the Special Magistrate Mr. Yadurao and his brother, the patel, sallied out and attacked the dacoits and recovered the plunder. Two of the dacoits were killed. Two villagers, Shumsher Khan and Irappa Wani behaved gallantly and were severely wounded. Raghunath Gosavi also acted bravely and was conspicuous in the fight. In my opinion the Special Magistrate, his brother Narayen Rao, and these villagers, Shamsher Khan, Irappa Wani and Raghunath Gosavi deserve the thanks of the Resident.

c.—Miscellaneous proceedings under the Criminal Procedure Code.

Miscellaneous proceedings.

14. The following statement gives the details of miscellaneous proceedings under the Criminal Procedure Code in the years 1890 and 1891 :—

TABLE VII.

Nature of proceedings.	Cases disposed of.		Persons disposed of.		Of these the following were					
					Acquitted or discharged.		Convicted.		Pending.	
	1890.	1891.	1890.	1891.	1890.	1891.	1890.	1891.	1890.	1891.
Proceedings against witnesses, chapter VI, C and D	2	2	2	2	...	...	2	2	...	...
Proceedings under chapter VIII, security for keeping the peace	83	34	165	125	66	68	99	57	13	...
Proceedings under chapter VIII, for good behaviour	68	86	74	92	25	30	49	61	4	*2
Proceedings against public nuisances, chapter X	374	592	655	1,485	336	1,072	319	413	872	428
Disputes as to immoveable property, chapter XII	16	32	58	155	37	136	21	19	36	2
Frivolous or vexatious complaints summarily dealt with, chapter XX, section 250 ...	144	172	144	173	...	...	144	173	...	...
Non-attendance of jurors or assessors, chapter XXIII, section 332	4	...	4	...	2	...	2	...	...	...
Maintenance, chapter XXVI	90	84	84	82	66	71	18	11	1	1
Forfeiture of bail or recognizance under chapter XLII	38	37	39	38	14	10	25	28	...	1
Total ...	819	1,039	1,225	2,152	546	1,387	679	764	926	434

* Includes 1 case transferred to Rajputana.

The fluctuations of this table do not call for much remark.

The figures against security for good behaviour, frivolous and vexatious complaints and proceedings against public nuisances need alone be commented on.

The figures for security for good behaviour are abstracted below :—

TABLE VIII.

Districts.	1890.		891.	
	Persons disposed of	Persons convicted.	Persons disposed of	Persons convicted.
Akola	23	17	41	28
Buldana	8	7	13	11
Basim	13	13	15	10
Amraoti	3	1	9	6
Ellichpur	7	6	6	5
Wun	14	3	8	1
Total ...	68	47	92	61

Security for good behaviour.

15. Judging from these figures the Resident's remarks in paragraph 4 of his review of the Criminal Report for 1890 do not appear to have had much effect, and it is doub whether Deputy Commissioners, have, as a body, really been much impresse them. In the Akola district there has been an increase, The Officiating Dep Commissioner who submitted the report does not however make any commen the subject in this part of his report, and his remarks in paragraph 57 relating to habitual offenders which touch upon this point are not worthy of reproduction. In the Buldana district there has been a slight increase. The Deputy Commissioner, Buldana, makes some remarks on the subject, but they are directed rather to justification against the Judicial Commissioner's remarks of last year and to explaining why, in his opinion, the District Magistrate should not try this class of case, than towards showing that an attempt has been made to comply with the Resident's wishes in the matter. In the Amraoti district there has been a small increase, but the number of cases is still very small considering the size of the district. The Deputy Commisssioner says :—

"Cases falling under chapter VIII were during the year under report tried by the District Magistrate himself under recent orders; and of late a great deal of attention is being paid to the matter by the police. I have given orders to send up offenders for security for good behaviour to me on the spot, as I move in the district, and the orders are being acted up to."

Judging from the results, the orders must have been issued very late, or the machinery is difficult to set in motion.

In the Ellichpur and Wun districts there has been no increase. Wun shows only one case and that case was taken up on the special order of the Judicial Commissioner.

The Deputy Commissioner, Basim, appears not to have lost sight of the Resident's remarks. For he writes as follows :—

"The remarks relating to the enforcement of the law as to taking security for good behaviour made in last year's report were not lost sight of, and every constitutional endeavour was made to work that special provision of the law, but not with the success which the efforts deserved. The reason lying again in the cowardice of the people and the absence of a public spirit as embodied in a general reluctance to speak to the ill-repute of desperate characters, who might be avenged on them. Without evidence of general repute, which must come from the people themselves, no Courts can legally convict. How this is to be surmounted is a question I leave for solution by higher authority which might be able to solve the problem."

The Deputy Commissioner of Ellichpur does not apparently think it necessary to enforce the provisions of chapter VIII against habitual offenders. He says :—

"I may mention that during the year under report there has been little necessity to enforce chapter VIII of the Criminal Procedure Code. Local bad characters are closely watched. Cabulees in this district commit no crime. Their physique, their voice and their

attire are all so pronounced, that they are not given to lawlessness in populated tracts. The purdesee, who is not unlike the Beraree, goes astray when opportunity is given. While on tour I have myself made careful enquiries from the villagers regarding the state of crime in their respective villages and the result of my enquiries was satisfactory."

The Deputy Commissioner, Wun, writes as follows:—

"It is very difficult to get people to give evidence against a fellow villager, and it is in my opinion better to watch a suspected man and catch him tripping, than to send him up to give security for his good behaviour and have him discharged for want of evidence against him."

"The convictions in two cases under 107, Criminal Procedure Code (security for keeping the peace), and one case under section 110, Criminal Procedure Code (security for good behaviour from habitual offenders), were in my Court. This one case does not represent the only instance in which it was proposed to take action under sections 109, 110, Criminal Procedure Code. In some other instances the police proposed taking action under those sections, but after enquiries I was not satisfied that conviction would result; therefore I declined to take further action. I would much rather have my district figures show—

"One case one person sent up, one conviction, than five cases eight persons sent up, one conviction."

Remarks on working in districts under chapter VIII.

16. I am afraid the result cannot be considered good and that the attitude of District Magistrates and Police towards this class of cases cannot be viewed with satisfaction. There is no doubt that security is not taken in a sufficiently large number of cases. District Magistrates and District Superintendents of Police and policemen generelly are apt to think that the main object of sections 109 and 110 of the Code of Criminal Procedure is to enable them to lock up and punish persons whom they suspect and whom they cannot convict. I deny that it may at times do good to imprison and punish persons merely [illegible]icion, but I much doubt whether that is the intention of the law. I think [illegible]nt persons are imprisoned under the provisions of chapter VIII of the Code [illegible]minal Procedure, but I am not satisfied that security is taken from sufficient [illegible]rsons able to give security. I should like to see numerous persons of the criminal classes bound down in reasonable sums and without conditions which almost prevent their furnishing the security demanded. The Police do not care for this and do not furnish the names of such persons. What they want is the demand of security from a person who cannot give it, or of such an amount and of such kind as to make it probable that the prisoner will be unable to obtain it.

17. While the Magistracy and Police try to work the security sections on these lines, it is impossible to expect that there will be many cases so strong as to result in conviction, or that villagers will be very willing to come forward and give evidence.

The above remarks mainly refer to cases under section 110. In regard to cases under section 109, I am afraid that there is a tendency to work that section also for the purposes of punishments, and not as it might be worked as a help towards the investigation of crime and the apprehension of unknown offenders. I have seen several cases in which security has been demanded of strangers, apparently of the criminal classes visiting the province. In some cases the Magistrate has during the trial made enquiry as to the man's antecedents, &c., but there is reason to fear that all enquiry ceases as soon as the prisoner is convicted and sent to jail in default of giving security. In reality where there is reason to think that the stranger is a wandering thief, enquiry should begin at this stage and the term of imprisonment should be regarded as an interval for enquiry as to who the stranger is and for what offence and in what part of the country he is wanted.

Frivolous and vexatious complaints summarily dealt with.

18. The following statement shwos the number of complainants summarily dealt with under section 250, Code of Criminal Procedure, by districts compared with the previous year:—

TABLE IX.

	Number of persons convicted.	
	1891.	1890.
Akola ...	... 58	80
Buldana ...	... 24	14
Basim ...	... 35	49
Amraoti ...	... 22	22
Elichpur ...	... 5	3
Wun ...	... ...	5
	144	173

The number of persons dealt with under this section in the Ellichpur and Wun districts seems very small, but it may be noted that these are the districts in which the percentage of dismissals under section 203, Code of Criminal Procedure, was found to be largest. The increase in the Akola district is attributed by the Deputy Commissioner to the stringent orders on the subject issued to the Magistrates, while the Deputy Commissioner, Akola, thinks the cases are not yet sufficiently numerous. The Deputy Commissioner, Basim, is not sure that the increase is a subject for congratulation. That the number of cases in Basim should be comparatively large is not surprising, as in that district the percentage of dismissals under section 203, Code of Criminal Procedure, is smaller than the percentage in any other district. It is more surprising that the number should be so large in Akola, where the dismissals under section 203, Code of Criminal Procedure, was comparatively large. It is to be hoped that too much pressure has not been brought to bear on the Akola Magistrates. It may be very well to impose heavy fines as compensation on vexatious complaints, but we should be very careful that vexatious complaints are alone fined.

The effects of the amendment of the law by which the power of awarding compensation has been extended and the right of appeal in most cases given should be watched.

Public nuisances.

19. The figures against public nuisance for the two years are as under :—

TABLE X.

	1890.		1891.	
	Cases dealt with.	Persons dealt with.	Cases dealt with.	Persons dealt with.
Akola	134	220	84	153
Buldana ...	88	339	306	1,039
Basim	217	689	217	462
Amraoti	151	152	132	159
Ellichpur	48	124	55	79
Wun	3	3	20	21
Total ...	641	1,527	814	1,913

The increase in the number of cases is chiefly due to an increase in the Buldana district.

The extent to which recourse is had to the Criminal Procedure Code for action against nuisances depends more on the Deputy Commissioner than on the circumstances of the year.

D.—*Result of Trial.*

Result of trials in Magistrates' Courts.

20. The following table compares the number of persons under trial including pending from 1890, the number of persons disposed of, and the number pending in 1890 and 1891 :—

TABLE VI.

District.	Persons under trial including pending.		Persons disposed of		Result of disposal.														Percentage.		Percentage on total of disposal.	
					Died, &c.		Percentage and total for disposal.		Discharged or acquitted.		Percentage on total for disposal.		Convicted.		Percentage on total for disposal.		Committed or referred		Percentage on total for disposal.					
	1890.	1891.	1890.	1891.	1890	1891	1890	18 9	1890.	1891.	1890	1891	1890.	1891.	1890	1891	1890	1891	1890	1891	1890	1891
Akola ...	4,975	5,472	4,938	5,419	130	202	2·6	3·7	3,179	3,365	63·9	61·5	1,605	1,779	32·2	32·5	24	73	·5	1·3	37	53	·8	1·
Buldana .	3,228	3,609	3,211	3,580	20	40	·6	1·1	1,945	2,029	60·2	56·2	1,223	1,465	38·	40·6	23	46	·7	1·3	17	29	·5	·8
Basim ...	3,288	2,910	3,240	2,882	54	96	1·6	3·3	1,513	1,239	46·	42·6	1,630	1,445	49·6	49·7	43	102	1·3	3·5	48	28	1·5	·9
Amraoti..	5,181	5,126	5,127	4,998	200	128	3·9	2·5	2,803	2,802	54·1	54·6	2,092	1,996	40·3	38·9	32	72	·6	1·5	54	128	1·1	2·5
Ellichpur	2,523	2,015	2,515	1,950	69	67	2·7	3·3	1,254	713	49·7	35·4	1,180	1,154	46·8	57·2	12	16	·5	·9	8	65	·3	3·2
Wun ...	1,987	2,070	1,932	1,994	18	17	·9	·8	902	890	45·4	43·	1,004	987	50·5	47·7	8	100	·4	4·9	55	76	2·8	3·6
Total ...	21,182	21,202	20,963	20,823	491	550	2·3	2·6	11,596	11,038	54·8	52·1	8,734	8,826	41·2	41·6	142	409	·7	1·9	219	379	1·	...
Percentage of 1887 ...	21,072	...	20,944	...	402	...	1·9	...	10,434	...	49·6	...	9,953	...	47·2	...	155	...	·7	...	128	...	·6	...

21. The percentage of convictions under some of the chief heads of Provincial Statement No. I are exhibited in the following statement:—

Proportion of convictions under the principal headings of offences.

TABLE XII.

Districts.	Number of persons against side head 16.			No. of persons against side heads 31, 32, 33 and 34.			Number of persons against side head 43.			Number of persons against side head 61.			Number of persons against [illegible] all other side heads.			Number of persons under Penal Code all other side heads.			Number of persons under Police Act.			Number of persons under Cattle Trespass Act.			Number of persons under Forest Law.			Number of persons under local laws against all other side heads.		
	Number of persons for trial less pending.	Number convicted.	Percentage.	Number of persons for trial less pending.	Number convicted.	Percentage.	Number of persons for trial less pending.	Number convicted.	Percentage.	Number of persons for trial less pending.	Number convicted.	Percentage.	Number of persons for trial less pending.	Number convicted.	Percentage.	Number of persons for trial less pending.	Number convicted.	Percentage.	Number of persons for trial less pending.	Number convicted.	Percentage.	Number of persons for trial less pending.	Number convicted.	Percentage.	Number of persons for trial less pending.	Number convicted.	Percentage.	Number of persons for trial less pending.	Number convicted.	Percentage.
Akola ...	30	30	100·	2,846	473	16·8	300	221	73·6	81	54	66·6	235	48	20·4	711	281	39·5	472	461	97·4	253	53	20·9	72	56	77·7	179	120	61·4
Buldana ...	44	39	88·7	1,542	139	9·	159	114	71·7	52	35	65·2	301	58	19·2	398	206	51·8	773	756	84·8	90	14	15·5	78	61	78 2	75	53	70·6
Basim ...	351	330	94·	756	125	16·5	171	127	74·3	54	33	61·1	199	41	20·6	450	251	55·6	387	361	93·3	52	16	30·7	2	2	100·	333	217	65·1
Amraoti ...	85	77	85·8	2,358	437	18·5	321	232	72·2	107	70	65·4	160	39	24·3	696	302	43·3	576	555	96·3	236	54	22·9	152	120	79·	166	139	83·7
Ellichpur ..	14	9	64·3	613	157	25·7	98	80	81·6	62	35	56·4	33	6	18·1	213	98	46·	590	567	96·1	34	10	29·4	103	95	92·2	119	99	83·2
Wun ...	...	...	...	570	124	21·9	219	140	63·9	63	36	57·1	67	21	31·3	416	210	50·4	438	423	96·5	52	8	15·4	90	70	77·7	61	33	54·1
Total ...	524	485	92·5	8,685	1,455	16·7	1268	914	72·1	419	263	62·7	995	213	21·4	2,884	1,348	46·7	3,236	3,123	96·5	717	156	21·6	497	404	85·3	933	661	70·8

Comments on the proportion of convictions in districts.

22. From the above tables, it will be seen that the percentage of convictions differs much in different districts; the percentage was lowest in the Akola district, 32·5, and highest in the Ellichpur district, 57·2. The Akola percentage was chiefly reduced by the proportionally small number of cases under section 290 and under the Police Act—but also partly owing to the low average in cases under the unspecified sections of the Penal Code. From an examination of Provincial Statement No. I, I gather that the bad result is due to the failure of prosecution in some case or cases of rioting in which there were several accused.

In the Ellichpur district the proportion of convictions is largest against side heads 31, 32, 33 and 34, an effect, no doubt, of the numerous dismissals under section 203 of the Criminal Procedure Code. The bad percentage of convictions against side headings 31, 32, 33 and 34 in the Buldana district is noticeable. The proportion of dismissals under section 203 is not very low, so the result is probably due to the love of compromise which showed itself in the civil returns for this district for the year 1891. The Basim proportion of convictions has been raised by a proportionally large number of cases of nuisance under the Penal Code and Police Act. It is remarkable that there should have been no prosecutions for public nuisance in the Wun district.

The pending file and the average duration call for no remarks.

E.—*Agency for disposal of work.*

23. The following statement shows in detail how the work has been distributed among the several district courts for the last four years :—

Distribution of work.

TABLE XIII.

District.	Deputy Commissioners.		Assistant Commissioners.		Extra Assistant Commissioners.		Attachés.		Tahsildars.		Special Magistrates.		Benches of Magistrates.		Judges of Small Cause Courts.		Total.	
	Number of cases disposed of.	Percentage.	Number of cases disposed of.	Percentage.	Number of cases disposed of.	Percentage.	Number of cases disposed of.	Percentage.	Number of cases disposed of.	Percentage.	Number of cases disposed of.	Percentage.	Number of cases disposed of.	Percentage.	Number of cases disposed of.	Percentage.	Number of cases disposed of.	Percentage.
	1890. 1891.	1890. 1891.	1890. 1891.	1890. 1891.	1890. 1891.	1890. 1891.	1890. 1891.	1890. 1891.	1890. 1891.	1890. 1891.	1890. 1891.	1890. 1891.	1890. 1891.	1890. 1891.	1890. 1891.	1890. 1891.	1890. 1891.	1890. 1891.
Akola	59 76	2·2 2·7	180 76	7·1 2·7	201 320	7·5 11·5	1· ...	... 4·4	1,238 939	46·5 33·7	303 362	11·4 12·9	556 786	20·9 28·1	90 233	3·4 8·4	2,662 2,792	100 100
Buldana	33 23	1·2 1·5	231 117	11·8 5·4	214 135	10·9 6·2	... 95	... 4·4	1,188 1,501	60·8 69·	299 294	15·3 13·5	... ...	... ...	... ...	... ...	1,955 2,175	100 100
Basim	70 52	3·8 3·3	11 3	·6 ·2	102 108	5·1 6·8	208 94	11·4 6·	939 983	51·5 62·2	493 338	27·1 21·5	... ...	... ...	... ...	... ...	1,823 1,578	100 100
Amraoti	26 25	1·0 ·9	164 161	6· 5·6	442 265	16·4 9·1	... 175	... 6·	1,299 1,156	47·9 40·2	776 872	28·7 30·1	... ...	... ...	... 239	... 8·2	2,708 2,896	100 100
Ellichpur	61 78	4·1 6·0	222 121	14·5 9·3	28 92	1·7 7·1	114 58	7·6 4·4	667 518	44·6 42·1	406 404	27·2 31·1	... ...	... ...	... ...	... ...	1,495 1,301	100 100
Wun	35 32	2·9 2·7	67 127	5·8 10·3	144 116	12·4 9·4	... ...	... ...	759 828	65·4 67·3	139 125	1·3 10·2	... ...	... ...	... ...	... ...	1,161 1,231	100 100
Total	270 289	2·3 2·5	884 608	7·5 5·1	1,129 1,036	9·7 8·6	349 422	3· 3·5	6,090 5,953	51·6 49·8	2,436 2,381	20·6 20·	556 786	4·7 6·6	90 472	·7 3·9	11,504 11,973	100 100
Percentage 1889	546 ...	4·4 ...	1,341 ...	10·8 ...	1,008 ...	8·1 ...	471 ...	3·8 ...	5,473 ...	44·2 ...	2,905 ...	13·5 ...	557 ...	4·5 ...	81 ...	·7 ...	12,382 ...	100 ...
Percentage 1888	458 ...	3·3 ...	941 ...	6·9 ...	1,493 ...	10·8 ...	446 ...	3·2 ...	6,885 ...	49·5 ...	2,921 ...	21·2 ...	612 ...	4·4 ...	100 ...	·7 ...	13,797 ...	100 ...

Cases disposed of by Magistrates of districts.

24. The subjoined table shows the number of cases disposed by the Deputy Commissioners :—

TABLE XIV.

Districts.	Disposed of summarily.		Disposed of otherwise.		Total.	
	1890.	1891.	1890.	1891.	1890.	1891.
Akola	11	4	47	72	58	76
Buldana	...	...	23	33	23	33
Basim	3	...	66	52	69	52
Amraoti	2	...	24	25	26	25
Ellichpur	27	48	34	30	61	78
Wun	1	...	28	35	29	35
Total ...	44	52	222	247	266	299

Work done by Assistant Commissioners and Tahsildars.

25. I have no remarks to make on this part of the subject. Under standing orders Deputy Commissioners are required to try habitual offenders not committed to the Court of Sessions and bad livelihood cases. Magistrates of the first class are required to try cattle theft cases and juvenile offenders. For the rest, distribution is left with the District Magistrates. Tahsildars naturally do the greater part of the work, as they have no civil judicial work as Assistant Commissioners, Extra Assistant Commissioners, and Attachés have.

F.— *Special Jurisdiction of Magistrates.*

Jurisdiction under section 30, Criminal Procedure Code.

26. The number of cases disposed of and persons tried by District Magistrates under section 30, Criminal Procedure Code, are exhibited in the following statement :—

TABLE XV.

Names o fdistricts.	Number of cases disposed of.		Number of persons tried.	
	1890.	1891.	1890.	1891.
Akola	22	28	26	47
Buldana	7	10	15	14
Basim	8	11	10	37
Amraoti	...	2	...	6
Ellichpur	1	...	1	...
Wun	13	13	34	20
Total ...	51	64	86	124

The figures against Amraoti and Ellichpur seem very small. The District Magistrate, Amraoti, had not powers for a large portion of the year; but even allowing for that, the number of cases tried by him seems small. It is strange that the District Magistrate, Ellichpur, should not have come across or had brought to him a single case to be tried under this section.

The District Magistrates of Wun and Basim have had some difficult cases for trial during the year.

Summary trials.

27. The following statement shows the number of cases disposed of and persons tried under chapter XXII of the Criminal Procedure Code:—

TABLE XVI.

Names of districts.	Number of cases disposed of.		Number of persons tried.	
	1890.	1891.	1890.	1891.
[illegible]ola	30	61	31	70
[illegible]ana	15	4	15	8
[illegible]	12	7	17	10
[illegible]mraoti	92	163	113	189
Ellichpur	38	130	38	157
Wun	11	7	18	12
Total ...	198	372	232	446

In the Akola district, the largest number of cases, 42, was disposed of by Mr. Deorao Jey Krishna at Telhara, and out of them 34 cases were under section 34 of the Police Act.

The Ellichpur cases were divided between the Deputy Commissioner Mr. Godwin-Austen and Mr. MacGill. These were mostly forest and nuisance cases. In the Amraoti district, the bulk of the cases was tried by Mr. Edulji Sanjana at Badnera.

It cannot be said that too much use is made of summary powers. Petty cases are generally distributed to 2nd class and 3rd class Magistrates. It is only at out-stations like Telhara and Badnera that petty cases are brought to 1st class Magistrates.

Trials of European British subjects.

28. Two cases were disposed of under chapter XXXIII relating to the trial of European British subjects. In one case the accused, Mr. Wittinbaker, was committed to the Bombay High Court. The other, a case of assault, was compounded.

G.—*Original work of the Sessions Court.*

Sessions trials.

29. Eighty sessions cases involving 153 persons came up for disposal against 37 cases and 59 persons in 1890. The cases were not only more numerous, but also more complicated. One dacoity case involving 21 persons occupied 12 days in its trial. 71 cases involving 129 persons were disposed of. Out of these, 64 cases were disposed by the Sessions Judge and 7 cases were tried by the Judicial Commissioner owing to there being no Sessions Judge at the time fixed for the November sessions.

The following statement shows how the 129 persons were dealt with :—

TABLE XVII.

	Persons disposed of.	Persons acquitted.		Convicted.		Died, escaped or transferred.	
		Number.	Percentage.	Number.	Percentage.	Number.	Percentage.
1890 ...	50	21	42·	28	56·	1	2·
1891 ...	129	36	27·9	88	68·2	5	3·9

Pending cases.

30. Nine cases involving 24 persons were left pending at the close of the year. They were cases for the January and February sessions, not cases left over from previous sessions.

Trials with assessors.

31. All trials were held with the aid of assessors. In 47 cases, the Judge agreed with the assessors.

Trials of European British subjects.

32. No European British subject was tried by the Court of Session.

Average duration.

33. The average duration was 47·2 against 39·4. The duration was affected by two cases from the Wun district and one from the Akola district which were sent up incomplete and had to be adjourned for further enquiry.

References.

34. The Sessions Judge had 37 confirmation cases involving 116 persons against 22 cases involving 22 persons in 1890.

H.—*Witnesses.*

Attendance of witnesses.

35. The following table gives particulars as to witnesses who attended the criminal courts in 1890 and in 1891 :—

TABLE XVIII.

	1890.		1891.	
	No.	Percentage.	No.	Percentage.
Discharged after 1st day's attendance... ...	31,976	82·6	33,537	79·1
Do. 2nd do	5,366	13·8	6,566	15·5
Do. 3rd do	956	2·5	1,382	3·3
Detained for more than 3 days	425	1·1	889	2·1
Total ...	38,723	100	42,374	100

Detention of witnesses.

36. The number of witnesses who attended the court, the number examined, and the number allowed to leave the court without examination have all increased. The detention of witnesses has been greater. I do not think any fair deductions can be drawn from this statement. The detention is calculated from the date of first

appearance to the date of final discharge. A man may attend on the 1st and again on the 6th and be detained for half an hour on each day only, and his detention will be shown as 6 days, though he may reside within two miles of the Magistrate's Court. Another man may come from the end of the district and have to wait for 3 days, and his detention will be calculated as only 3 days, though he may be absent from his village for a period of 15 days.

It is necessary I fear for the police and complainants to secure the attendance of many witnesses that may not be required. The accused need say nothing till the case against him is proved, and proof must be present; he may confess at once and the witness go unexamined.

37. The long detention of witnesses in the Court of the Civil and Sessions Judge is owing to complicated cases which took several days for trial and prevented other cases being taken up on the appointed date.

Part II.—Punishments.

Details of punishments inflicted by the courts.

38. The following table gives the details of the kinds of punishments inflicted in the years 1890 and 1891:—

Table XIX.

	Number of persons sentenced to punishments of all kinds.		Death.				Transportation.				Imprisonment.				Fine.				Whipping.			
			Number.		Percentage.		Number.		Percentage.		Number.		Percentage.		Number.		Percentage.		Number.		Percentage.	
	1890.	1891.	1890.	1891.	1890.	1891.	1890.	1891.	1890.	1891.	1890.	1891.	1890.	1891.	1890.	1891.	1890.	1891.	1890.	1891.	1890.	1891.
Akola ...	1,670	1,824	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	215	305	12·9	16·7	1,407	1,461	84·2	80·1	48	58	2·9	3·2
Buldana ...	1,256	1,446	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	188	184	14·9	12·7	1,027	1,219	81·8	84·3	41	43	3·3	3·
Basim ...	1,657	1,522	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	167	204	10·1	13·4	1,456	1,279	87·9	84·	34	39	2	2·6
Amraoti ...	2,205	2,076	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	328	271	14·9	13·1	1,777	1,696	80·6	81·7	100	109	4·5	5·2
Ellichpur ...	1,216	1,209	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	142	111	11·7	9·2	1,029	1,063	84·6	87·9	45	35	3·7	2·9
Wun ...	1,072	1,069	..	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	163	182	15·2	17·	832	838	77·6	78·5	77	49	7·2	4·5
Courts of Deputy Commissioners under special jurisdiction ...	51	56	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	38	48	74·5	85·1	10	5	19·6	8·9	3	3	5·9	6·
Sessions Court ...	41	240	...	...	...	...	6	34	14·6	14·8	34	142	82·9	59·18	1	63	2·4	26·23	...	1	...	·41
Judicial Commissioner's Court ...	7	10	6	2	85·7	20·	1	6	14·3	60·	...	2	...	20	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Total ...	9,175	9,452	6	2	·07	·02	7	40	·08	·42	1,275	1,449	13·9	15·23	7,539	7,624	82·1	80·63	348	337	3·7	3·6

Transportation.

39. It will be seen that there has been a large increase in the number of transportations. This is partly due to effect being given to the Resident's wishes in regard to the punishment to be inflicted on habitual offenders, but also in part to the disposal during the year of large dacoity cases, in two of which 12 persons were sentenced to transportation.

Other punishments.

40. The number and proportion of persons sentenced to imprisonment has increased. The number of persons sentenced to fine increased, though the percentage has decreased. There is a slight increase in the number of whippings.

Degrees of punishments.

41. The following table compares the degree in which punishments of imprisonment, fine and whipping were inflicted by all courts during 1890 and 1891:—

TABLE XX.

Degrees of punishments.	1890.		1891.	
	Persons.	Percentage.	Persons.	Percentage.
Fine.				
Rupees 10 and under	6,413	85·06	6,316	82·85
,, 11 to 50	1,047	13·88	1,223	16·04
,, 51 to 100	64	·85	58	·76
,, 101 to 500	14	·2	26	·34
,, 501 to 1,000	1	·01	1	·01
Above Rupees 1,000	...	...	...	...
Total ...	7,539	100	7,624	100
Imprisonment.				
To periods not exceeding 15 days	280	22	183	
To periods exceeding 15 days, but not exceeding 6 months	694	54·4	785	54
To periods exceeding 6 months, but not exceeding 2 years	248	19·5	299	20·6
To periods exceeding 2 years, but not exceeding 7 years	50	3·9	170	11·8
Above 7 years	3	·2	12	·8
Total ...	1,275	100	1,449	100
Whipping.				
10 stripes and under	94	27	50	14·9
20 do.	179	51·4	211	62·6
30 do.	75	21·6	76	22·5
Total ...	348	100	337	100

42. In sentences of fine, there has been a decrease in the number and proportion of fines not exceeding Rs. 10, and an increase in the number and proportion of fines over Rs. 10.

43. In sentences of imprisonment, there has been a decrease in the number and proportion of sentences not exceeding 15 days; an increase in the number but not in the proportion of sentences exceeding 15 days but not exceeding 6 months; and an increase in the number and proportion in sentences for longer terms, and especially in sentences exceeding 2 years.

44. In sentences of whipping there has been a decrease in the number and proportion of whippings not exceeding 10 stripes, and a corresponding increase in severer whippings.

Comparative statement of punishments.

45. The following statement has been prepared in order to enable us to see whether these fluctuations can be at all accounted for :—

TABLE XXI.

	Akola.		Buldana.		Basim.		Amraoti.		Ellichpur.		Wun.	
	Percentages.		Percentages.		Percentages.		Percentages.		Percentages.		Percentages.	
	1890.	1891.	1890.	1891.	1890.	1891.	1890.	1891.	1890.	1891.	1890.	1891.
Fine												
10 rupees and under ...	83·4	79·1	86·3	83·2	86·1	86·9	82·8	84·9	90·9	84·9	82·1	81·2
50 „ „	16·1	19·2	12·1	16·2	13·	12·4	16·	13·8	8·6	14·2	16·2	17·4
100 „ „	·6	1·2	1·2	·4	·8	·5	1·	·6	·3	·8	1·3	1·2
500 „ „	...	·5	·3	·2	·1	·2	·2	·7	·2	·1	·4	·2
1,000 „ „	...	...	·1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...
Imprisonment.												
15 days and under ...	23·1	11·3	21·	16·6	22·5	4·2	20·8	19·2	25·6	22·6	26·3	15·1
6 months and under ...	52·	64·5	54·5	57·3	46·8	49·5	64·1	61·3	58·4	49·1	59·1	69·7
2 years and under ...	21·2	20·2	22·	21·1	27·8	36·6	14·2	18·8	10·9	25·5	12·3	14·6
7 „ „ ...	3·7	4·	2·5	5·	2·9	9·7	·9	·7	5·1	2·8	2·3	·6
Whipping.												
10 stripes and under ...	34·	13·1	21·4	16·3	41·8	10·2	31·	22·9	4·4	17·2	27·3	..
20 „ „ ...	50·	55·7	57·1	58·1	47·1	74·4	45·	51·4	68·9	71·4	49·3	83·7
30 „ „ ...	16·	31·2	21·4	25·6	11·1	15·4	24·	25·7	26·7	11·4	23·4	16·3

Fines.

46. The proportion of fines of Rs. 10 and under is smallest in the Akola district. The Deputy Commissioner, Akola, says that "the decrease is owing to the warning given to Subordinate Magistrates that small fines do not act as deterrent." Seeing that the proportion of such fines in the Akola district is smaller than the proportion in any other district, a suspicion arises that these warnings may have been unnecessary.

The Judicial Commissioner's remarks in last year's report on criminal justice related to compensation in the case of frivolous and vexatious complaints, and not to fines generally. I doubt whether 20 per cent. of the total persons punished with fine can afford to pay more than Rs. 10 plus the stamp and process fees. The fact that large sums are yearly struck off as irrecoverable suggests a doubt whether fines are not pitched too high. Ordinarily a criminal will gladly pay a fine to avoid imprisonment, especially when he knows that the Magistrate will try to recover the fine (as is the ordinary practice) even if he elects to go to prison. Prisoners who are sentenced to imprisonment as well as fine may well defer payment till the term of substantive imprisonment is nearly over, but they will pay in the end, if they can.

Why then was it necessary to strike off as irrecoverable the sum of Rs. 7,676, a sum equal to 12·5 per cent. on the fines imposed during the year, unless some of the fines imposed in this or previous years were excessive.

Imprisonment.

47. The proportion of sentences of imprisonment for 15 days and under have decreased all round, but notably in the Basim, Akola and Wun districts.

The decrease is evidently due to attention having been paid to the Resident's and Judicial Commissioner's remarks on the subject in the annual report for 1889 and 1890. The district reports generally contain a reference to the subject. The Deputy Commissioners of Amraoti and Ellichpur alone do not refer to it, and it is in those districts that there is least improvement, suggesting an inference that they have the least noticed the Resident's and the Judicial Commissioner's remarks. The proportion of short sentences in the Basim district, 4·2, seems very low, and it is to be feared that the Deputy Commissioner

has gone too far in the opposite direction, for in regard to sentences of imprisonment for terms not exceeding 15 days, he says that this kind of punishment was only passed by two officers "in disobedience of clear orders on the subject." It is needless to say that such sentences are not prohibited.

The figures against long terms of imprisonments are too small to allow of any deduction being drawn from the averages exhibited. In examining them it is also necessary to remember that only sentences not exceeding four years have been accounted for, longer sentences being shown against the Civil and Sessions Judge by whom they are confirmed. In the Basim district certain classes of offenders were dealt with severely by the District Magistrate and by Magistrates, owing to the prevalence of dacoities and robberies. In the Amraoti district most of the serious cases were committed for trial to the Sessions Court, and in the Wun district dacoits were either sentenced to five or seven years by the District Magistrates, or committed to the Sessions Court. The inclusion of these figures would make district figures look very different from what they appear to be from the statement under examination.

Whipping.

48. The number of whipping of 10 stripes and under in the Basim district decreased from 14 to 4. This is due to its having been pointed out to the District Magistrate that whipping should be proportioned to the strength of the offender, and that no good can be done by sentencing a strong adult to receive five or six stripes.

Solitary confinement.

49. The number of cases of solitary confinement has increased from 146 to 285.

Simple imprisonment.

50. Cases of simple imprisonment have decreased from 152 to 113.

Habitual offenders punished.

51. I regret to say that the District Magistrates have again failed to give full information in regard to the punishment of habitual offenders.

The District Magistrate, Akola, in paragraph 57 which purports to deal with habitual offenders, has some remarks about cases in which persons were called on to give security for good behaviour. The District Magistrate, Basim, makes no remarks on the subject. The Deputy Commissioner, Amraoti, writes as follows:—

"Special attention was paid during the year under report in passing very deterrent sentences on habitual offenders. In some instances offenders were committed to the Court of Session and sentenced to transportation for life. Two Bhampta boys were committed to the Sessions Court and sentenced to long terms of imprisonment at the Reformatory."

"In one instance, a Bhampta whom the Tahsildar of Morsi had only sentenced to 15 days' imprisonment was committed to the Court of Sessions on my discovering that he was a Bhampta and on enquiry it was discovered he had not less than 8 previous convictions. Before the Tahsildar he passed himself off as a Dhungher."

The Deputy Commissioner, Wun, says:—

"In 19 cases habitual offenders were dealt with; of these 6 were dealt with by 2nd class Magistrates, 5 by 1st class Magistrates and 8 were dealt with by the District Magistrate. In no case was an habitual offender leniently punished."

The Deputy Commissioners, Buldana and Ellichpur, give statements showing the number of previous convictions and the sentences, but make no further comments. The Civil and Sessions Judge makes no remark on the subject.

Habitual offenders tried in the Court of Sessions.

52. Speaking from my general knowledge of the subject, I am of opinion that habitual offenders were properly dealt with during the year under report in the districts of Akola, Amraoti and Basim, and the following table compiled from the Sessions Court registers shows that this is the case:—

TABLE XXII.

Habitual offenders tried in the Court of Sessions or sentenced by the Sessions Court on reference under Section 30, Criminal Procedure Code, during the year 1891.

Details and description of punishment.	Districts from which committed or referred.						Total.
	Akola.	Buldana.	Basim.	Amraoti.	Ellichpur.	Wun.	
Number of persons sentenced to transportation for life ...	1	*2	...	7	...	...	10
Do. to 14 years ...	...	...	...	1	...	...	1
Do. to 10 years ...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...
Do. to 7 years ...	6	...	1	2	...	...	9
Do. to 5 years ...	3	...	3	2	...	...	8
Total ...	10	2	4	12	...	...	28

* One committed to the Court of Sessions by order of High Court.

53. Mr. Nicholetts, Colonel Gunthorpe and Mr. Davies properly appreciate habitual offenders, get enquiries made and convictions found, themselves try the cases unless obliged, from want of powers or under the instructions of the Judicial Commissioner, to have them committed, and on conviction punish them adequately. But I have no confidence that habitual offenders are properly dealt with in other districts.

Habitual offenders dealt with by District Magistrates.

54. The Deputy Commissioner, Ellichpur, could scarcely pass without comment a statement in which a person with five previous convictions is shown under as sentenced to two years and under had he a proper appreciation of the subject

55. The remarks of the Deputy Commissioner, Wun, show that either he is inaccurate, or his appreciation of the law and circular orders is defective. If habitual offenders were dealt with in the 19 cases, none of these cases should have been dealt with either by a 2nd or 1st class Magistrate. Habitual offenders should either be placed before the District Magistrate or committed for trial.

56. Two cases have specially come up from Buldana during the year. In one a Bhampta from the Amraoti district with several convictions against him was caught under a false name, was dealt with by the District Magistrate on reference under section 349, Criminal Procedure Code, and sentenced to two years and whipping. The conviction was set aside and a re-trial ordered, with the result that the accused was sentenced to transportation for life, a sentence confirmed by the Resident on appeal against my decision. In the other case, which is now pending in this Court, the District Magistrate refused to try a person referred to him as an habitual offender by a 2nd class Magistrate, and ordered the 2nd class Magistrate to dispose of the case himself. There is no doubt that the offender in this case was a habitual, further convictions against him being discovered when he reached the jail after being sentenced to six months' imprisonment—the maximum sentence which the 2nd class Magistrate could award.

57. In justice to the Deputy Commissioners, Buldana, Ellichpur and Wun, it is necessary to bear in mind that the Deputy Commissioners of Amraoti and Akola have, from the large jails in their districts, greater facilities for identifying habitual offenders.

Both of the persons sentenced to transportation from the Buldana district belong to, and had been previously convicted in, other districts. I remember

one other case from Mehkar in the Buldana district, in which an habitual offender was dealt with by the Extra Assistant Commissioner as for a first offence, and it was not till the prisoner was transferred to the Akola jail that his antecedents were discovered, and he was found to be an old offender wanted in the Akola district, and was proceeded against and convicted of the offence for which he was wanted. I believe the Inspector-General of Police has during the year arranged for likely offenders to be taken to the Central Jails for identification before trial. Professional crime will never be properly dealt with till it is made a rule that every person as to whose antecedents there is any doubt shall be taken before trial to his original village for identification. There would of course be great difficulties in working such a rule.

Amount of fines imposed, realized, and relinquished and the balance outstanding.

58. The following fine account is compiled from Provincial Statement No. X for the year 1891 :—

TABLE XXIII.

Debtor.	Rupees.	Creditor.	Rupees.
Fines outstanding at the close of 1890	20,519 7 9	Fines realized in 1891 ...	52,382 [illegible]
Fines imposed in 1891 ...	61,638 0 6	Amount relinquished ...	7,670 6 [illegible]
		Remitted in appeal ...	625 0 0
		Outstanding at the close of 1891	21,473 4 8
Total ...	82,157 8 3	Total ...	82,157 8 3

PART III.—APPELLATE JURISDICTION OF THE JUDICIAL COMMISSIONER'S COURT, THE SESSIONS JUDGE, AND OF MAGISTRATES.

Business for disposal.

59. Including cases pending from 1890, the total number of appeals for disposal, the number disposed of, and the number pending in the courts of the Judicial Commissioner, the Civil and Sessions Judge, and the Deputy Commissioners was as follows :—

TABLE XXIV.

	Appeals for disposal including pending.		Appeals disposed of.		Pending.	
	1890.	1891.	1890	91891	1890.	1891.
Judicial Commissioner's Court	33	106	32	105	1	1
Civil and Session Judge's Court ...	256	238	237	216	19	22
Deputy Commissioners' Courts	374	388	360	384	14	4
Total ...	663	732	629	705	34	2

Detail of disposal.

60. The following table shows the number of appeals for disposal and the manner in which they were disposed of:—

TABLE XXV.

Name of Courts	Total Number of appeals disposed of.		Appeals rejected.				Sentences confirmed				Sentences enhanced.				Sentences reduced or otherwise altered.				Sentences reversed.				Proceedings quashed.				New trial or further enquiry ordered.				Referred for revision to High Court.			
			Number.		Percentage.		Number.		Percentage.		Number.		Percentage.		Number.		Percentage.		Number.		Percentage.		Number.		Percentage.		Number.		Percentage.		Number.		Percentage.	
	1890.	1891.	1890.	1891.	1890.	1891.	1890.	1891.	1890.	1891.	1890.	1891.	1890.	1891.	1890.	1891.	1890.	1891.	1890.	1891.	1890.	1891.	1890.	1891.	1890.	1891.	1890.	1891.	1890.	1891.	1890.	1891.
Judicial Commissioner ...	32	90	5	21	15·6	23·3	23	59	71·9	65·9	...	...	...	...	1	7	3·1	7·8	2	3	6·3	3·	...	...	...	...	1	...	3·1	...	...	...	...	...
Civil and Sessions Judge ..	237	216	86	84	36·3	38·9	79	75	33·3	34·7	...	...	...	...	15	21	6·3	9·7	45	28	19·	13·	...	...	...	...	10	8	4·2	3·7	2	...	·9	·9
DISTRICT MAGISTRATES																																		
...	65	76	28	18	43·	23·7	8	22	12·3	28·9	...	...	...	...	11	6	17·	7·9	18	30	28·	39·5	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
...	48	33	26	21	54·2	63.6	4	3	8·3	9·1	...	...	...	...	4	3	8·3	9·1	12	6	25·	18·2	2	..	42	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Basim	46	101	7	...	15·2	...	12	53	26·1	52·5	...	...	...	...	4	47	8·7	46·5	23	1	50·	1.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Amraoti	132	69	74	20	56·1	29·	13	12	9·8	17·4	...	...	...	...	15	4	11·4	5·8	30	29	22·7	42·	...	..	...	...	...	3	...	4·2	...	1	...	15
Ellichpur ...	42	52	29	17	69·	32·7	...	8	...	15·4	...	...	...	...	8	21	19·1	40·4	5	6	11·9	11·5	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	.	...	...	...	...
Wun	29	53	3	3	10·3	12·	11	36	38·	67·9	...	...	...	...	2	4	6·9	7·5	13	10	44·8	18·9	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Total ...	631	690	258	184	40·8	26·6	150	268	23·7	38·7	.....	.....	.....	.....	60	113	9·5	16·3	148	113	23·4	16·3	2	...	·3	..	11	11	1·7	1·4	2	1	3	2

I have omitted tables similar to tables L and M of last year's report, as I think they give very little information compared with the amount of printing.

Result of appeals.

61. Except for fluctuations between appeals rejected at first hearing and sentences confirmed, the provincial results of the two years seem to be much the same, and call for no remark.

Summary rejections and sentences altered.

62. The Deputy Commissioner, Basim, did not reject a single appeal at the first hearing. The Deputy Commissioner, Buldana, rejected 63·6 per cent. Deputy Commissioners ordinarily reject about 30 per cent. The cases decided by the Deputy Commissioners, Buldana and Basim, will be called for and examined, in order to see whether the one is too summary in his rejections, or the other too procrastinating. The percentage of cases in which the sentence was reduced or otherwise altered is high in the Ellichpur district. All the appeals in which the sentence was reduced by Colonel Rennick were against the decision of one officer, Mr. Girrao Ramchandra. These cases, 21 in number, will therefore be called for in order to see whether his sentences are ordinarily too severe.

Statement.

Revision business.

63. Revisional work is compared in the following table:—

TABLE XXVI.

	Total No. af applicants.		Whose applications rejected.				Whose sentences confirmed.				Whose sentences enhanced.				Whose sentences reduced or otherwise altered				Whose sentences reversed.				Proceedings quashed.				New trial or further enquiry ordered.				Referred to High Court.			
	Disposed of		No.		Percentage.		No.		Percentage.		No.		Percentage.		No.		Percentage.		No.		Percentage.		No.		Percentage.		No.		Percentage.		No.		Percentage.	
	1890.	1891.	1890.	1891.	1890.	1891.	1890.	1891.	1890.	1891.	1890.	1891.	1890.	1891.	1890.	1891.	1890.	1891.	1890.	1891.	1890.	1891.	1890.	1891.	1890.	1891.	1890.	1891.	1890.	1891.	1890.	1891.	1890.	1891.
Judicial Commissioner ...	189	188	126	113	66·6	60·2	16	..	8·5	...	8	25	42	13·4	1	1	·5	·5	23	23	12·2	12·2	2	2	1·	1·	13	24	7	12·7	...	...	...	...
Civil and Sessions Judge ...	98	115	36	74	36·6	64·3	...	...	...	...	...	..	....	...	..	..	....	....	...	...	...	...	...	..	....	....	...	6	...	5·2	62	35	63·4	30·5
District Magistrate.	57	91	50	60	87·7	65·9	...	...	...	...	..	..	....	...	..	..	....	....	...	...	...	...	...	..	....	....	1	19	1·8	20·9	6	12	10·5	13·2
Total ...	344	394	212	247	61·6	62·8	16	..	8·5	...	8	25	42	13·4	1	1	·5	·5	23	23	12·2	2·2	2	2	1·	1·	14	49	4·	12·4	6			

Remarks on the above.

64. Seven habituals were dealt with by the Judicial Commissioner on revision during the year. Two were coiners. The sentence of one was enhanced from 2 to 5 years. The second had been tried by a Subordinate Magistrate and sentenced to 2 years; as the sentence could not be enhanced without retrial, retrial was ordered, and in the end the accused was sentenced to 4 years.

Five cases related to habitual thieves. The sentence of two persons who had been convicted by Colonel Rennick and sentenced to 3 years' imprisonment each were enhanced to 10 years each. One person had been convicted by Colonel Szczepanski on reference under section 349, Criminal Procedure Code, his retrial was ordered, and on retrial he was sentenced to transportation for life. In one case the retrial of a Bhampta boy who had been sentenced to 15 days was ordered, and resulted in his being sent to the Reformatory for 5 years. The remaining case was a petty one in which the sentence was slightly enhanced. The sentences passed on 16 dacoits from the Wun district were enhanced; sentences on a poisoner and on a person who cut off his wife's nose were also enhanced. The other cases of enhancement and order of retrial were unimportant.

Pending file and average duration.

65. The following table contrasts the pending file, and the average duration of appeals and revision cases in the Courts of the Judicial Commissioner, Civil and Sessions Judge, and District Magistrates.

TABLE XXVII.

Name of Courts.	Pending file.				Average duration.			
	Appeals.		Revision cases.		Appeals		Revision cases.	
	1890.	1891.	1890.	1891.	1890.	1891.	1890.	1891.
Judicial Commissioner ...	1	1	4	7	36·5	34·3	29·8	39·8
Civil and Sessions Judge ...	19	22	...	...	21·3	25·6	26·6	29·6
District Magistrates... ...	14	4	1	4	11·7	20·1	33·5	54·5
Total ...	34	27	5	11	...	...	...	...

66. The result is good. There are no arrears, and cases are disposed of with reasonable expedition. The increase in duration in appeal cases before the District Magistrates is doubtless owing to a smaller number of appeals being rejected at the first hearing. The duration is largest (31 days) in the Court of the District Magistrate, Basim. This is apparently due to the fact that he did not reject a single appeal at the first hearing. The duration in the Court of the District Magistrate, Buldana (9·8), is shortest owing to the large number of appeals rejected at the first hearing.

Result satisfactory.

PART IV.—PROCEDURE.

67. The following statement shows the number of cases the proceedings in which were called for and inspected by the Deputy Commissioners, the Civil and Sessions Judge, and the Judicial Commissioner during the years 1890 and 1891 :—

Number of cases called for and inspected.

TABLE XXVIII.

Class of Courts.	Number of cases called up including the balance of last year.		Number of cases transferred to Assistant Commissioners for inspection and report.		Number of cases inspected by the Deputy Commissioners, Civil and Sessions Judge, and the Judicial Commissioner.		Results of inspection.									
							Cases in which procedure was found to be correct.		Cases in which errors were pointed out and communicated to the officers concerned.		Cases submitted for revision.		Total.		Number of cases pending inspection at the close of the year.	
	1890.	1891.	1890.	1891.	1890.	1891.	1890.	1891.	1890.	1891.	1890.	1891.	1890.	1891.	1890.	1891.
Judicial Commissioner.	170	838	...	...	170	838	130	638	40	200	...	...	170	838	...	...
C. and S. Judge ...	514	223	...	...	514	223	350	151	164	52	...	20	514	223	..	...
Dy. Commr., Akola ...	107	199	...	...	107	199	20	113	87	80	...	6	107	199	..	...
Do. Buldana ..	280	338	...	...	280	338	224	252	54	83	2	3	280	338	...	...
Do. Basim ...	492	576	330	198	162	378	116	296	349	279	...	1	465	576	27	...
Do. Amraoti ..	291	204	...	...	291	204	249	143	42	59	...	2	291	204	...	...
Do. Ellichpur	105	291	...	...	105	291	33	174	72	117	...	...	105	291	...	...
Do. Wun ..	99	144	...	...	99	144	52	125	47	19	...	...	99	144	...	...
Total ...	2,058	2,813	330	198	1,728	2,615	1,174	1,892	855	889	2	32	2,031	2,813	27	...

68. The number of cases called up for inspection was larger than in the previous year, and the procedure was found to be correct in a larger proportion of cases. All District Magistrates and the Sessions Judge examined a fair number of cases; a very large number of cases was inspected by the Judicial Commissioner himself; the mistakes found were ordinarily unimportant.

Remarks on inspection of the proceedings of Subordinate Magistrates.

Inspection of Courts by Judicial Commissioner.

69. The following offices were inspected by the Judicial Commissioner during the year :—

The district offices at Ellichpur; the Tahsildars' and Special Magistrates' offices at Ellichpur, and the Melghat Tahsil office.

Inspection reports sent by District Magistrates.

70. District Magistrates sent reports of their inspection of the following offices:—

Amraoti District.

Court of the Tahsildar at Morsi.

Akola District.

(1) Extra Assistant Commissioner's Court at Telhara.
(2) Special Magistrate's Court, Sheogaon.
(3) Court of the Tahsildar at Ballapur.
(4) Do. Mr. Rustomji Furdunji, 2nd class Magistrate at Akola.

Buldana District.

(1) Assistant Commissioner's Court at Mulkapur.
(2) Court of the Tahsildar at Mulkapur.
(3) Do. Special Magistrate at Mulkapur.
(4) Do. Tahsildar at Chikli.

Wun District.

(1) Court of the Tahsildar at Yeotmal.

Office of Judicial Commissioner.

71. Colonel Mackenzie held charge of the office for the greater part of the year. I officiated from 1st January to 21st January, and again from 7th November to the end of the year.

Notice of officers.

72. Of the District Magistrates, I would specially bring to notice Mr. Nicholetts, now officiating as Civil and Sessions Judge, Colonel Gunthorpe and Mr. Davies for their cordial co-operation in dealing with habitual offenders; Messrs. Nicholetts, Elliott and Davies for personal trial of complicated cases, and Colonels Szczepanski and Rennick for careful supervision of the subordinate magistracy.

The magistracy as a body have worked well.

73. The ministerial establishments are well reported on. Of the Clerks of Courts, I would mention Balaji Rajaram, Officiating Clerk of Court in this office. He had worked well under me in the Civil and Sessions Judge's office before his promotion, and I have found him still working hard during the time that I have officiated as Judicial Commissioner. I believe that Colonel Mackenzie also found him to be a hard worker and was pleased with his work. Ramchandra Narayen, Clerk of Court in the Amraoti district, is highly commended by the Deputy Commissioner.

74. Mr. Jones, the Superintendent of the office, has managed the office well and given me much assistance.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

R. OBBARD,

Offg. Judl. Comr., Hyderabad Assigned Districts.

APPENDICES.

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. I—(CIVIL AND CRIMINAL).—*Statement showing the number of Judicial Divisions, and the number of Officers exercising Appellate or Original Jurisdiction in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts on the last day of the year* 1891 *with the cost of Tribunals.*

Name of Districts.			Area.	Population.	Number of divisions for Courts under Chief Court, but superior to Chief Courts of Districts.	Number of Districts.	Number of Sub-Districts.	Total number of officers exercising original or appellate jurisdiction: Judges of Chief Court of Province.	Judges of other Courts superior to Chief District Courts.	Judges of Chief Courts of Districts.	Judges of District Courts other than Chief Courts.	Judges of other subordinate Courts.	Total number of cases decided: Original. Regular.	Original. Miscellaneous.	Appeals. Regular.	Appeals. Miscellaneous.	Total receipts of the Courts.	Total charges of the Courts.	Remarks.
1			2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
Hyderabad Assigned Districts.	Territory subject to the High Court.	Civil ... Criminal	17,720	2,897,040	1	6	22	1	1	12	3	63	34,806	3,758	1,430	231	Rs. A.P. 315,110 7 5	Rs. A.P. 2,63,841 5 1	
		Revenue ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Territory not subject to the High Court.	Civil ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Criminal ...		...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Revenue...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Total ...		1891 ...	17,720	2,897,040	1	6	22	1	1	12	3	63	34,806	3,758	1,430	231	3,15,110 7 5	2,63,841 5 1	
		1890 ...	17,711	2,672,673	1	6	22	1	1	11	4	64	38,034	3,675	1,505	400	735 13 4	2,49,951 3 4	

Grades of Judicial Officers.		Europeans.	Natives.
Officers exercising both Original and Appellate Jurisdiction.	High Court Judges...	1	...
	Civil and Sessions Judges ...	1	...
	District Judges ...	6	...
	Additional Judges ...	...	...
	Subordinate Judges..	8	16
Officers exercising Original Jurisdiction only.	Small Cause Court ...	...	5
	Judges... ..	...	...
	Munsiffs ...	...	5
	Total ...	16	26

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. II—(CRIMINAL).—*Statement of offences reported and of persons tried, convicted, and acquitted of each class of offence in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts in the year* 1891.

Description of offences.	Number of offences reported.	Number of cases returned as true.	Number of cases brought to trial during the year.	Number of persons: Under trial during the year including pending from previous year.	Acquitted or discharged.	Convicted.	Died, escaped, or transferred to another district.	Remaining under trial.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Offences against the State, Chapter VI of the Indian Penal Code	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Offences relating to the Army and Navy, Chapter VII	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Offences against the public tranquillity, Chapter VIII...	226	137	125	846	412	334	1	99	
Offences by or relating to public servants, Chapter IX	29	23	21	29	16	10	...	3	
[illegible]tempts of the lawful authority of public [illegible]vants, Chapter X	244	239	239	283	53	222	...	8	
[illegible] evidence and offences against public justice, Chapter XI	84	81	78	85	27	52	...	6	
Offences relating to Coin and Government Stamps, Chapter XII...	24	16	18	23	7	16	...	...	
Offences relating to weights and measures, Chapter XIII	44	44	44	50	18	31	...	1	
Offences affecting the public health, safety, convenience, decency, and morals, Chapter XIV...	526	504	508	580	60	514	1	5	
Offences relating to Religion, Chapter XV ...	24	11	15	38	23	14	...	1	
Offences affecting human body, Chapter XVI. — Offences affecting life	145	106	91	134	47	56	3	28	
Causing of miscarriage, injuries to unborn children, exposure of infants and the concealment of births... ...	11	8	8	8	2	6	...	...	
Hurt...	2,007	1,634	1,629	3,613	3,012	520	...	81	
Wrongful restraint and wrongful confinement	551	217	208	377	272	102	...	3	
Criminal force and assault	3,598	2,330	2,358	4,935	4,016	904	5	10	
Kidnapping, forcible abduction, slavery, and forced labour	28	16	9	17	7	8	2	...	
Rape	22	8	8	8	6	...	1	1	
Unnatural offence	12	11	8	10	5	5	...	...	
Offences against property, Chapter XVII. — Theft	2,825	2,315	926	1,293	337	914	14	28	
Extortion	38	19	20	41	34	7	...	...	
Robbery and dakaiti	132	97	49	257	86	122	10	39	
Criminal misappropriation of property ...	81	64	64	89	33	48	1	7	
Criminal breach of trust	122	76	67	71	26	40	3	2	
Receiving of stolen property ...	81	64	79	119	48	63	7	1	
Cheating	86	36	38	51	29	21	...	1	
Fraudulent deeds and disposition of property	3	2	2	5	4	1	...	...	
Mischief	497	276	207	412	287	124	...	1	
Criminal trespass	2,181	1,604	752	1,429	933	478	5	13	
Offences relating to documents and to trade or property marks, Chapter XVIII ...	22	8	8	15	2	4	...	9	
Criminal breach of contracts of service, Chapter XIX	1	1	1	1	...	1	...	...	
Offences relating to marriage, Chapter XX ...	185	80	81	154	119	19	3	13	
Defamation, Chapter XXI	32	17	17	27	21	5	...	1	
Criminal intimidation, insult, and annoyance, Chapter XXII	166	90	90	164	107	31	...	26	
Offences under special and local laws ...	4,72	4,515	4,538	5,435	1,038	4,343	2	52	
Total { 1891 ...	18,724	14,652	12,306	20,599	11,087	9,015	58	439	
Total { 1890 ...	18.088	14,079	12,005	20,680	11,619	8,781	50	230	

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. III—(CRIMINAL.)

Statement of Miscellaneous Proceedings under the Criminal Procedure Code in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts during the year 1891.

Nature of Proceedings.	Total No. of cases before the Courts during the year.	No. of persons concerned.	No. of persons discharged.	No. of persons convicted.	Pending.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1. Proceedings against witnesses under Chapter VI-C and Section 485.	2	2	...	2	...	
2. Proceedings under Chapter VIII to prevent breach of the peace ..	34	125	68	57	...	
3. Proceedings under Chapter VIII, security for good behaviour ...	87	93	30	61	*2	
4. Proceedings against local nuisances, Chapter X.	814	1,913	1,072	413	428	
5. Possession, Chapter XII ...	34	157	136	19	2	
6. Frivolous or vexatious complaints summarily dealt with under Chapter XX, Section 250 ...	172	173	...	173	...	
7. Non-attendance of Jurors or Assessors, Chapter XXIII, Section 332 ...	...	...	...	...	...	
8. Contempts of Court under Chapter XXXV ...	...	...	...	...	...	
9. Maintenance, Chapter XXXVI	86	83	71	11	1	
10. Forfeiture of bail or recognizance under Chapter XLII ...	38	39	10	28	1	
Total ... 1891...	1,267	2,585	1,387	764	434	
Total ... 1890...	1,100	2,151	546	679	926	

* Includes 1 case transferred to Rajputana.

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. IV—(CRIMINAL).—*Statement showing the general result of Criminal Trials in the Tribunals of various classes in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts in the year 1891.*

Class of Courts.	Total number of persons under trial.	Persons whose cases were disposed of.					Persons remaining under trial at the end of the year.	Number of cases disposed of during the year.	Average number of days during which each cases laste d.	No. of witnesses examined.	Remarks.
		Died, escaped, or transferred to another district.	Discharged or acquitted.	Convicted.							
				On regular trial.	On summary trial.	Committed or referred.					
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Village Officers	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Subordinate Magistrates. Special Magistrates under Section 14 ...	3,951	...	1,887	20,017	...	18	29	2,395	3·8	4,056	
Subordinate Magistrates. Honorary Magistrates sitting singly ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Subordinate Magistrates. Stipendiary Magistrates sitting singly ...	14,634	17	7,911	5,785	335	252	334	8,493	6·3	20,089	
Subordinate Magistrates. Benches of Magistrates	1,496	...	1,080	408	...	3	5	786	4·6	1,114	
District and Divisional Magistrates' cases referred under Sections 347 and 349, Criminal Procedure Code ...	113	...	17	94	...	2	...	87	14·6	11	
Chief Magistrates of Districts	513	38	113	128	59	134	11	212	19·1	1,575	
Courts of Sessions	176	3	32	76	...	7	58	57	57·7	479	
Confirmation cases	116	...	12	103	...	...	1	36	31·3	...	
Superior Courts	16	...	5	10	...	...	1	12	29	51	
Total ... 1891...	*21,015	58	11,087	8,621	394	416	*439	12,078	6·3	27,375	
Total ... 1890...	20,828	50	11,620	8,591	190	149	228	11,164	5·6	24,935	

*Includes 34 persons being in transit to the Court of Sessions.

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. IV-A—(CRIMINAL).—*Statement showing the general result of the trial of European British subjects in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts in the year* 1891.

Description of ence.	No. of persons accused and brought before a Magistrate.							Persons whose cases were disposed of.																		No. of cases.					Subsidiary Table.			
	Remaining at the close of the previous year.	Within the year.						By District Magistrates and other 1st Class Magistrates.						By Courts of Session.				By High Court.			Total for all Courts.														
		On complaint, Section 191 (a), Criminal Procedure Code.	On Police report, Section 191 (b) of the Code.	By Magistrate *suo motu*, Section 191 (c) of the Code.	On transfer from another province.	Total.	Died, escaped, or transferred to other provinces.	No. actually brought to trial	Received by transfer under Section 451-B of the Code.	Convicted.	Acquitted or discharged.	Committed. To Court of Session.	Committed. To High Court.	Transferred by order under Section 451-B of the Code.	Total omitting entries in columns 10–15.	Received by transfer under Section 451-B of the Code.	Convicted.	Acquitted or discharged.	Transferred to High Court under Section 449 of the Code.	Total exclusive of column 17.	Convicted.	Acquitted.	Total.	Convicted.	Acquitted or discharged.	Total.	Number of persons under trial at the close of the year.	Brought to trial.	Disposed of.	Pending.	Number of persons who applied to the High Court for an order under Section 145 of the Code.	Of those entered in column 5, number who applied under Section 2, Act III of 1884, for transfer or committal of their cases.	Number of persons who applied to the High Court under Section 526 of the Code for the transfer of their cases.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36
Offences against the State, Chapter VI of the Indian Penal Code.																																			
Do. relating to the Army and Navy, Chapter VII ...																																			
Do. against the public tranquility, Chapter VIII ...																																			
Do. by or relating to public servants, Chapter IX																																			
Contempts of the lawful authority of public servants, Chapter X.																																			
False evidence and offences against public justice, Chapter XI ...																																			
Offences relting to coin and Government stamps, Chapter XII ...																																			
Do. relating to weights and measures, Chapter XIII ...																																			
Do. affecting the public health, safety, convenience, decency and morals, Chapter XIV																																			
Do. relating to religion, Chapter XV																																			
Offences affecting the human body, Chapter XVI. — Offences affecting life																																			
Causing of miscarriage, injuries to unborn children, exposure of infants, and the concealment of births ...																																			
Hurt																																			
Wrongful restraint and wrongful confinement... ...																																			
Criminal force and assault	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	*1	...	...	...	...		
Kidnapping, forcible abduction, slavery and forced labour																																			
Rape																																			
Unnatural offence																																			
Offences against property, Chapter XVII. — Theft																																			
Extortion																																			
Robbery and dacoity																																			
Criminal misappropriation of property																																			
Criminal breach of trust	...	1	...	...	...	1	...	1	...	...	...	...	1	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...		
Receiving of stolen property																																			
Cheating																																			
Fraudulent deeds and disposition of property ...																																			
Mischief																																			
Criminal trespass																																			
Offences relating to documents and to trade or property marks, Chapter XVIII																																			
Criminal breach of contracts of service, Chapter XIX ...																																			
Offences relating to marriage, Chapter XX ...																																			
Defamation, Chapter XXI																																			
Criminal intimidation, insult and annoyance, Chapter XXII ...																																			
Offences under special and local laws																																			
Total — 1891 ...	...	1	...	...	...	1	...	1	...	...	...	...	1	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...			...	...	...	...		
Total — 1890 ...	..	3	...	...	...	3	...	...	...	1	2	...	...	1	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...			...	...	...	...		

Of the total number of persons shown in

Column 16			Column 21		Columns 21 and 24.		Of cases shown in column 30. Disposed of by Magistrates.		Disposed of by Sessions Judges.	
Number who claimed to be tried by a mixed jury, Sec. 451-A (1) of the Code.	No. who did not so claim.	Number of those in column 35 (1) whose cases were transferred under Section 451-B of the Code.	Number who claimed a mixed number of assessors, Section 451 (2) of the Code.	Number who did not so claim.	Number who claimed to be tried by a mixed jury, Section 451 (1) of the Code.	Number who did not so claim.	Being European British subjects.	Other than European British subjects.	Being European subjects.	Other than European British subjects.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	...	...	...

* A complaint against European British subject under section 352, Indian Penal Code, was disposed of in the absence of the accused on the complainant's giving razinamah; hence no person shown in columns 3 to 7.

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. V—(CRIMINAL).—*Statement showing the punishments inflicted by the various criminal tribunals in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts in the year 189*

Class of tribunals.	Persons sentenced to								Persons ordered to find or give security or recognizance to keep the peace, or sureties for good behaviour.	Persons imprisoned in default of security for good behaviour.	Detail of punishment.																No. of boys whose sentences were commuted to detention in a Reformatory School.
											Fine.									Imprisonment,					Whipped.			
	Death.	Transportation.	Penalservitude.	Imprisonment, Rigorous.	Imprisonment, Simple.	Forfeiture of property.	Fine.	Whipping.			Rs. 10 and under.	Rs. 50 and under.	Rs. 100 and under.	Rs. 500 and under.	Rs. 1,000 and under.	Above Rs. 1,000	Total amount of fines imposed during the year.	Total amount of fines realized during the year.	Amount paid by way of compensation	Fifteen days and under.	Six months and under.	Two years and under.	Seven years and under.	Above seven years.	10 stripes and under.	20 stripes and under.	30 stripes and under.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29
																	Rs a. p.	Rs. a p.	Rs a. p.									
Village Officers ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Subordinate Magistrates. — Special Magistrates under section 14 ...	...	...	...	122	27	...	1,883	32	...	...	1,750	131	2	...	...	...	7,630 15 6	7,054 15 0	517 10 6	48	101	...	...	...	11	15	6	...
Subordinate Magistrates. — Honorary Magistrates sitting singly ..	...	..	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...
Subordinate Magistrates. — Stipendiary Magistrates sitting singly ...	...	...	...	890	81	...	5,260	234	78	32	4,127	996	52	24	1	...	5 ,291 8 0	43,694 7 1	2,555 3 0	123	646	223	11	...	27	157	50	...
Subordinate Magistrates. — Benches of Magistrates. ...	...	...	..	11	1	...	400	..	...	...	369	31	...	...	...	.	1,339 0 0	1,336 0 0	115 6 0	8	4	...	...	...	..	...	...	...
District and Divisional Magistrates' cases referred under Sections 347, 349, Criminal Procedure Code ...	...	...	...	45	...	...	6	56	...	...	3	3	...	...	...	...	125 0 0	25 9 7	...	...	14	30	1	...	12	31	13	...
Chief Magistrates of Districts ...	..	...	...	82	4	...	72	14	29	10	59	8	4	1	...	...	2,251 9 0	1,252 9 9	14 11 0	4	20	43	29	...	...	7	7	...
Courts of Sessions ..	...	34	..	131	...	...	63	1	11	11	8	54	..	1	...	.	1,527 0 0	...	...	...	...	3	128	11	...	1	...	...
Superior Courts ...	2	6	..	2	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	...	...	..	...
Total ... 1891	2	40	...	1,283	113	...	7,624	337	118	53	6,316	1,223	58	26	1	...	63,165 0 6	53,363 9 5	3,202 14 6	183	785	299	170	12	50	211	76	...
Total ... 1890	6	7	...	1,078	152	...	7,539	348	164	45	6,413	1,047	64	14	1	...	53,289 1 11	50,614 11 8	5,169 11 0	280	694	248	*50	3	94	179	75	*1

JUDICIAL STATEMENT V-A—(CRIMINAL).—*Showing the particulars of whippings inflicted by the criminal tribunals during* 1891.

PART I.

Showing whippings inflicted under Sections 2 and 3, Act VI of 1864, in lieu of other punishments.

Offences for which awarded.	Number of stripes awarded.												Total.	Remarks.
	5 and under.		6 to 10.		11 to 15.		16 to 20.		21 to 25.		26 to 30.			
	1st conviction.	2nd or subsequent conviction.	1st conviction.	2nd or subsequent conviction.	1st conviction.	2nd or subsequent conviction.	1st conviction.	2nd or subsequent conviction.	1st conviction.	2nd or subsequent conviction.	1st conviction.	2nd or subsequent conviction.		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
In lieu of other punishments (Sections 2 and 3, Act VI of 1864)—														
Section 379 ...	3	...	23	...	35	...	60	...	12	..	11	...	144	
Do. 380 ...	...	...	11	...	12	...	14	...	7	...	5	...	49	
Do. 454 ...	...	...	...	...	2	...	3	...	3	...	2	...	10	
Do. 457 ...	...	...	...	...	5	...	6	...	3	...	3	...	17	
Do. 378 ..	1	...	6	...	7	...	29	...	6	...	...	...	49	
Do. 381 ...	...	...	...	...	3	...	1	..	3	...	...	...	7	
Do. 411 ...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	..	1	...	1	...	3	
Do. 445 ...	...	...	1	...	...	...	3	...	...	...	1	...	5	
Do. 456 ...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	
Do. 392 ...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	1	
Do. 451 ...	...	...	1	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	
Total ...	4	...	42	...	66	...	116	...	36	..	23	...	287	

PART II.

Showing whippings inflicted in addition to other punishments, Sections 3 and 4, Act VI of 1864.

Offences for which awarded.	Number of stripes.						Total.	Remarks.
	5 and under.	6 to 10.	11 to 15.	16 to 20.	21 to 25.	26 to 30.		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
In addition to other punishments (Sections 3 and 4) A, Section 3—								
Section 379	...	...	2	5	2	8	17	
Do. 380	...	...	1	7	1	...	9	
Do. 457	...	...	4	2	1	1	8	
Do. 378	...	...	1	...	...	2	3	
Do. 454	...	...	...	1	...	2	3	
Total ...	...	...	8	15	4	13	40	

PART III.

Showing whippings inflicted under Section 5, Act VI of 1864, on juveniles, for offences other than those specified in Parts I and II.

Offences.	Stripes.													
	5 and under.		6 to 10.		11 to 15.		16 to 20.		21 to 25.		26 to 30.		Total.	Remarks.
	1st conviction.	Subsequent conviction.	1st conviction.	Subsequent conviction.	1st conviction.	Subsequent conviction.	1st conviction.	Subsequent conviction.	1st conviction.	Subsequent conviction.	1st conviction.	Subsequent conviction.		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
Section 428 ...	...	...	...	...	2	...	2	...	...	...	...	...	4	
379 ...	...	...	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	
Do. 380 ...	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	
Do. 454 ...	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	
Do. 45[illegible] ...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	
Do. 377 ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	1	
Total ...	...	...	4	...	3	...	3	...	...	...	...	...	10	

PART IV.

Showing relative number of times whipping was awarded as compared with other punishments.

Punishments.	Number.	Remarks.
1	2	3
1 Total number of whippings awarded	337	
2. Total number of other punishments	8,495	
3. Percentage of whippings	30·88	

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. VI—(CRIMINAL).—*Statement showing the result of appeal and revision in criminal cases in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts in the year* 1891.

Tribunals.	No. of persons. Total No. of appellants and applicants for revision before the Courts	Died, escaped, or transferred to another district.	Appeals or applications rejected.	Sentence or order confirmed.	Sentence enhanced.	Sentence reduced or otherwise altered.	Sentence reversed.	Proceedings quashed.	New trial or further enquiry ordered.	Referred for revision to the High Court.	Pending trial.	Average number of days during which each appeal lasted.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Appeals.													
To Chief Magistrates of Districts.	668	...	160	203	...	64	226	...	3	1	11	20·1	
Court of Sessions ...	238	...	84	75	...	21	28	...	8	...	22	25·6	
Superior Courts. { By persons convicted / By Government from judgment of acquittal }	106	15	21	59	..	7	3	...	...	...	1	34·3	
Total { 1891 ...	1,012	15	265	337	...	92	257	...	11	1	34	23·9	Applications preferred. By complainant. 31 / 6 / 37 — On behalf of complainant. 41 / 92 / 133
Total { 1890 ...	986	7	318	249	...	80	261	...	16	2	53	16·5	
Revision.													
By Chief Magistrates of Districts	95	...	57	3	...	...	...	...	19	12	4	54·5	
Courts of Sessions ..	43	...	38	36	...	...	...	...	6	35	...	29·6	
Superior Courts	105	8	113	...	25	1	23	2	24	...	7	39·6	
Total { 1891 ...	243	8	208	39	25	1	23	2	49	47	11	38·1	
Total { 1890 ...	153	...	204	24	8	1	25	2	14	66	20	29·2	
Grand Total { 1891 ...	1,255	23	473	376	25	93	280	2	60		45	26·6	
Grand Total { 1890 ...	1,139	7	522	273	8	81	286	2	30		73	20·2	

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. XIII—(CIVIL AND CRIMINAL).—*Statement showing use of Juries and Assesso[illegible]e Judicial Commissioner's Civil and Sessions Courts of the Hyderabad Assigned Districts in the year 1[illegible]*

Class of Courts in which Jurors or Assessors are employed, distinguishing Criminal from Civil Courts.	Established or average number of Jury or Assessors in each case and prescribed qualification.	No. of cases tried by Jury.	No. of cases tried with Assessors.	Jury trials,					Assessors' trials.			Remarks.
				Cases in which the Judge approved of the verdict	Cases in which the Judge did not approve of the verdict.		No. of persons of the verdict in respect to whom the Judge disapproved.		No. of cases in which Judge agreed with Assessors.	No. of cases in which Judge differed from		
					Wholly.	Partially.	Whose cases he referred under Section 263, C.P.C.	Whose cases he did not refer under Section 263, C. P. C.		One Assessor.	Both Assessors.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
I.—CIVIL COURTS.												
Chief Courts of Districts (or officer specially empowered) acting under Act X of 1870 } Assessors ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
II.—CRIMINAL COURTS.												
Magistrates' Courts under Chapter XXXIX, C.P.C.—Jurors	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Courts of Sessions—Assessors	2	...	60	...	...	...	...	...	45	4	11	
High Court, Original Criminal Jurisdiction—Assessors...	2	...	4	...	...	...	...	...	2	...	1	
Total { 1891 ...	4	...	64	...	...	...	...	...	47	4	12	
{ 1890 ...	2	...	32	...	...	...	...	...	20	1	11	

PROVINCIAL.

STATEMENT No. I—(CRIMINAL).—*Statement of offences reported and of persons tried, convicted, and acquitted of each offence in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts during the year* 1891.

Nomenclature of offences, with Chapter and Section of the Penal Code or other law applicable.	Number of head of crime.	Number of cases.					Number of persons.				
		Offences reported.	Complaints dismissed under Section 203, Criminal Procedure Code.	Other cases struck off as false.	Balance returned as true.	Brought to trial during the year.	Under trial during the year.	Acquitted or discharged.	Convicted.	Died, escaped or transferred.	Remaining under trial at the close of the year.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
I.—OFFENCES UNDER THE PENAL CODE.											
Offences against the State—Chapter VI, Sections 121 to 130	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Do. the Army and Navy—Chap. VII, Sections 131 to 140 ...	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Do. the public tranquility—Chap. VIII, Sections 143 to 145, 149 to 151, 157, 158—Unlawful assembly	3	3	1	...	2	2	84	19	...	...	65
Do. Sections 147, 148, 152 and 153 to 156—Rioting, &c. ...	4	158	45	29	84	71	526	323	176	1	26
Do. Section 160—Affray	5	65	14	...	51	52	236	70	158	...	8
Do. by or relating to public servants—Chap. IX, Sections 161 to 169—By public servants	6	13	4	...	9	9	12	5	5	...	...
Do. 161, 170 and 171—Relating to public servants	7	16	1	1	14	12	17	11	5	54	...
Contempts of the lawful authority of public servants—Chap. X, Sections 172 to 190	8	244	5	...	239	239	283	53	222	...	...
False evidence and offences against public officers—Chap. XI, Sections 193 to 200—False evidence, subordination, &c., of public servants ...	9	31	3	...	28	28	29	15	14	...	...
Sections 201 to 229—Offences against public justice	10	53	...	...	53	50	59	12	42	...	2
Offences relating to coin and Government stamps—Chap. XII, Sections 231 to 254—Offences relating to coin	11	22	1	7	14	16	21	6	15	...	...
Section 255 to 263, offences relating to stamps	12	2	...	...	2	2	2	1	1	...	...
Offences relating to weights and measures—Chap. XIII, Sections 264 to 267	13	44	...	...	44	44	50	18	31	...	1
Offences affecting the public health, safety, convenience, decency and morals—Chap. XIV, Sections 269 to 278, offences affecting public health	14	25	1	7	17	23	24	11	9	...	4
Sections 279 to 289, offences affecting safety	15	44	1	9	34	29	32	11	20	...	1
,, 290 and 291, offences affecting convenience	16	457	2	2	453	456	524	38	485	1	...
,, 292 to 294, offences affecting decency and morals	17	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
,, 294-A, keeping lottery office or publishing proposals for lottery	17-a	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Offences relating to religion—Chap. XV, Sections 295 to 298	18	24	6	4	14	15	38	23	14	...	1
Offences affecting the human body—Chap. XVI, Sections 302 and 303, murder	19	51	3	8	40	32	61	28	15	2	16
Section 307, attempt at murder	20	17	1	11	5	4	5	3	1	1	...
,, 304, culpable homicide	21	11	...	1	10	9	20	5	5	...	10
,, 304-A, causing death by rash or negligent act	21-a	4	...	1	3	4	6	3	1	...	2
,, 308, attempt at culpable homicide	22	1	...	...	1	2	2	1	1	...	...
,, 305 and 306, abetment of suicide	23	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
,, 309, attempted suicide...	24	61	1	13	47	40	40	7	33	...	...
,, 311, thagi, &c.	25	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
,, 312 to 315, causing miscarriage	26	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
,, 316, injury to unborn children	27	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
,, 317, exposure of infants	28	3	...	2	1	1	1	1	...	...	...
,, 318, concealment of birth by secret disposal of dead body ...	29	8	...	1	7	7	7	1	6	...	...
,, 325 to 331 and 333, hurt with aggravating circumstances ...	30	126	21	14	91	81	163	73	67	...	23
,, 323, 324, 332 and 334 to 338, other cases	31	1,881	321	17	1,543	1,548	3,450	2,939	453	...	58
,, 341, wrongful restraint	32	495	279	30	186	181	340	245	94	...	1
,, 342 to 348, wrongful confinement	33	56	16	9	31	27	37	27	8	...	2
,, 352 to 358, criminal force or assault	34	3,598	1,136	132	2,330	2,358	4,935	4,017	904	3	11
,, 364, 366, 367 kidnapping or forcible abduction with aggravating circumstances	35	2	1	...	1	1	2	...	...	2	...
,, 363, 365, 368 and 369, other cases	36	26	8	3	15	8	15	7	8	...	...
,, 370 and 371, slavery	37	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
,, 372 and 373, buying or selling a minor for the purpose of prostitution	38	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
,, 374, forced labour	39	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
,, 376, rape	40	22	4	10	8	8	8	6	...	1	1
,, 377, unnatural offence	41	12	...	1	11	8	10	5	5	...	...
Offences against property—Chap. XVII—											
Section 382, theft with aggravating circumstances	42	3	...	...	3	...	...	...	...	...	...
Sections 379 to 381 and 401, other cases	43	2,821	158	351	2,312	926	1,293	337	914	14	28
,, 386 to 389, extortion with aggravating circumstances ...	44	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
,, 384 and 385, other cases	45	33	14	...	19	20	41	34	7	...	...
Robbery—											
Section 394, with hurt	46	22	...	6	16	6	14	2	5	4	3
,, 392, other cases	47	61	6	19	36	23	40	29	7	3	1
,, 393, attempts	48	6	...	...	6	2	6	4	...	...	2
Dakaiti—											
Section 396, with murder	49	1	...	...	1	1	6	6	...	...	...
,, 397, with attempt to cause death or grievous hurt ...	50	10	...	...	10	2	2	...	2	...	...
,, 398, attempted robbery or dakaiti when armed with deadly weapons	51	1	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Sections 395, 399, 400 and 402, other cases	52	31	...	3	28	15	189	55	108	3	23
,, 403 and 404, criminal misappropriation of property ...	53	81	17	...	64	64	89	33	48	1	7
,, 406 to 409, criminal breach of trust	54	122	31	15	76	67	71	26	40	3	2
,, 411 to 414, receiving or habitually dealing in stolen or plundered property	55	81	...	17	64	79	119	48	63	7	1
,, 417 to 420, cheating	56	86	49	1	36	38	51	29	21	...	1
,, 421 to 424, fraudulent deeds or disposition of property ...	57	3	1	...	2	2	5	4	1	...	...

PROVINCIAL.

STATEMENT No. I—(CRIMINAL).—*Statement of offences reported and of persons tried, convicted, and acquitted of each offence in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts during the year* 1891—(continued).

Nomenclature of offences with Chapter and Section of the Penal Code or other Law applicable.	Number of head of crime.	Number of cases.					Number of persons				
		Offences reported.	Complaints dismissed under Section 203, Criminal Procedure Code.	Other cases struck off as false.	Balance returned as true.	Brought to trial during the year.	Under trial during the year.	Acquitted or discharged.	Convicted.	Died, escaped or transferred.	Remaining under trial at the close of the year.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Mischief—											
Sections 422 to 433 and 435 to 440, with aggravating circumstances.	58	189	19	43	127	66	72	49	22	...	1
„ 426 to 428 and 434, other cases	59	308	141	18	149	141	340	238	102	...	...
Criminal Trespass—											
Sections 459 and 460, resulting in death or grievous hurt... ...	60	5	...	...	5	3	7	...	2	...	5
„ 449 to 452, 445, 455, 457, and 458 for commission of serious offences	61	1,256	18	91	1,147	283	420	151	632	5	1
„ 447, 448, 453, 456, 461 and 462, other cases	62	920	416	52	452	466	1,002	782	213	...	7
[illegible]ting to documents and to trade or property marks, Chapter XV—											
Sections 465 to 471 and 474, forgery or uttering or possessing forged documents or papers	63	20	12	...	8	8	15	2	4	...	9
„ 472 to 476, counterfeiting or making or possessing a counterfeit seal, &c., for purposes of forgery	64	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
„ 477, fraudulently destroying or defacing a will or other documents	65	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
„ 482 486, 487 and 488, using a false trade or property mark and knowingly selling property so marked	66	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
„ 483 to 485, counterfeiting or making, or possessing a die plate or instrument for counterfeiting a trade or property mark	67	2	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
„ 489, removing, destroying, &c., a trade or property mark with intent to cause injury	68	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Criminal breach of contracts and service—Chapter XIX—											
Sections 490 to 492	69	1	...	...	1	1	1	...	1	...	...
Offences relating to marriage—Chapter XX—											
Sections 493 to 498	70	185	104	1	80	81	154	119	19	3	13
Defamation—Chapter XXI—											
Sections 500 to 502	71	32	15	...	17	17	27	21	5	...	1
Criminal intimidation, insult or annoyance—Chapter XXII—											
Section 506, the threat being to cause death or other grievous hurt	72	66	35	...	31	31	66	45	16	...	5
Sections 504 to 510, other cases	73	100	41	...	59	59	98	62	15	...	21
II—OFFENCES UNDER SPECIAL AND LOCAL LAWS.											
Code of Criminal Procedure.											
Chapter VIII, Sections 107, 108, 119, security for keeping the peace ..	74	61	28	...	33	34	125	68	57	...	...
„ VIII, Sections 109, 110, security for good behaviour ..	75	92	5	2	85	87	93	30	61	1	1
„ XXXV, Contempts of Courts	76	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Breach of other laws relating to—											
Arms, Ammunition and Military Stores ... Act 11 of 1878 ..	...	5	...	...	5	5	5	...	5	...	...
Cantonments, Military ... ... „ 3 of 1880 ..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Cattle Trespass ... ... „ 1 of 1871 ..	...	445	99	14	332	342	721	562	155	...	4
Coinage and Mint ... ... „ 23 of 1870 ..	...	6	...	...	6	6	6	...	6	...	...
Companies Act ... ... „ 10 of 1886 ..	...	24	...	...	24	24	16	4	12	...	...
Contagious Diseases ... ... „ 14 of 1868 ..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Criminal Tribes and Eunuchs ... ... „ 27 of 1871 ..	...	96	...	...	96	96	259	90	168	1	...
Forests ... ... „ 7 of 1878 ..	...	357	3	2	352	353	510	93	404	...	13
Gambling ... ... „ 2 (B.C.) of 1867 ..	...	16	...	1	15	15	107	22	59	...	26
Land Acquisition ... ... „ 10 (B.C.) of 1870 ..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Legal Practitioners ... ... „ 18 of 1879 ..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
License Tax ... ... „ 2 (B.C.) of 1880 ..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Municipalities ... ... Acts 4 and 5 (B.C.) of 1876 and Amending Acts ..	...	127	...	1	126	126	127	17	109	...	1
Opium ... ... Act 1 of 1878	...	61	1	9	51	50	53	12	40	...	1
Police District ... ... „ 5 of 1864	...	3,245	...	13	3,232	3,244	3,241	113	3,123	...	5
Post Offices ... ... „ 14 of 1886 and Act 3 of 1892 ..	...	2	...	...	2	1	5	...	5	...	...
Prisons and Prisoners ... ... „ 5 of 1871, 2 (B.C.) of 1864, 5 (B.C.) of 1865, and 4 (B.C.) of 1866..	...	3	...	...	3	3	4	...	4	...	...
Printing Presses ... ... Act 25 of 1867..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Railways ... ... Acts 4 of 1879 and 4 of 1883	...	85	...	...	85	85	81	3	78	...	...
Registration of Documents ... ... Act 3 of 1877..	...	4	...	...	4	4	7	2	5	...	...
Stamps ... ... „ 1 of 1879..	...	12	3	...	9	9	14	6	7	...	1
Steam Boilers Act ... ... „ 111 of 1887	...	1	...	...	1	1	1	1	...	...	...
Telegraphs ... ... „ 1 of 1876 .	...	2	...	...	2	1	1	...	1	...	...
Treasure Trove ... ... „ 1 of 1878..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Abkari Rules	...	58	...	6	52	52	59	15	44	...	...
Total {1881	...	18,724	3,094	978	14,652	12,306	20,599	11,087	9,015	58	439
Total {1890	...	18,088	3,209	800	14,079	12,005	20,680	11,619	8,781	50	230

PROVINCIAL.

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. II—(CRIMINAL).—*Statement of Micellaneous Proceedings under the Criminal Procedure Code in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts during* 1891.

Nature of Proceedings.	Total No. dealt with during the year.		Disposed of during the year.		
	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	
				Discharged	Convicted.
1	2	3	4	5	
1. Proceedings against witnesses under Chapter VI-C and section 485 ...	2	2	2	...	
2. Proceedings under Chapter VIII to prevent breach of the peace ...	34	125	34	68	57
3. Proceedings under Chapter VIII, security for good behaviour	87	93	86	30	61
4. Proceedings against local nuisances, Chapter X	814	1,913	592	1,072	413
5. Possession, Chapter XII	34	157	32	136	19
6. Frivolous or vexatious complaints summarily dealt with under Chapter XX, section 250	172	173	172	...	173
7. Non-attendance of jurors or assessors, Chapter XXIII, section 332 ...	...	...	...	...	...
8. Contempts of Court under Chapter XXXV	...	...	...	...	...
9. Maintenance, Chapter XXXVI ...	86	83	84	71	11
10. Forfeiture of bail or recognizance under Chapter XLII	38	39	37	10	28
Total ... 1891 ...	1,267	2,585	1,039	1,387	764
Total ... 1890 ...	1,100	2,151	819	546	679

STATEMENT No. III—(CRIMINAL).—*Statement showing the general result of criminal trials in the Tribunals of various classes in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts in the year* 1891.

Class of tribunals.	Number of persons under trial.								Persons whose cases were disposed of.								Persons under trial at the end of year.	Number of cases.				Aggregate number of days during which the cases entered in column 20 lasted.	Average number of days during which each case lasted.	Number of witnesses examined.	Remarks.
	Remaining at the end of the previous year.	Brought to trial during the year.							Died, escaped, or transferred.	Discharged or acquitted.	Convicted.				Committed or referred.	Total of columns 11 to 16.		Brought to trial during the year.	Disposed of during the year.	Remaining at the close of the year.	Number of cases entered in column 20 tried summarily.				Note the number of persons entered in columns 8 and 10 transferred from or to another district.
		Under arrest by police.	Upon warrant.	On summons.	Voluntarily.	Received on commitment or reference.	Received by transfer.	Total.			On regular trial.		On summary trial.												
											Appealable sentence passed.	Non-appealable sentence passed.	Appealable sentence passed.	Non-appealable sentence passed.											
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26
I.—MAGISTRATES.																									
Village Officers	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Subordinate Magistrates. — Special Magistrates under section 14	23	1,335	49	2,524	10	...	24	4,015	64	1,887	2,017	...	...	..	18	3,922	29	2,458	2,395	16	...	9,244	3·8	4,056	
Honorary Magistrates sitting singly, 2nd and 3rd class	89	3,120	489	8,163	224	..	186	12,271	218	6,838	4,906	...	...	...	96	11,840	212	7,285	6,984	93	...	34,422	5·1	14,232	
Honorary Magistrates sitting singly, 1st class	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Stipendiary Magistrates sitting singly ... 2nd and 3rd class	96	1,286	144	964	24	...	219	2,733	169	1,073	442	437	5	330	156	2,443	122	1,719	1,509	71	325	18,560	12·	5,857	
Stipendiary Magistrates sitting singly ... 1st class	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Benches of Magistrates	4	283	27	1,199	2	...	...	1,515	19	1,080	408	...	..	...	3	1,491	5	803	786	6	...	3,629	4·6	1,114	
District and Divisional Magistrates' cases referred under sections 347, 349 ...	2	...	...	...	...	110	6	118	5	17	44	50	...	..	2	113	...	92	87	...	...	1,271	14·6	11	
Chief Magistrates of Districts. — Under ordinary powers	3	167	13	48	1	3	38	273	62	68	74	5	...	59	5	211	...	204	148	...	58	2,096	15·5	741	
Chief Magistrates of Districts. — Under special powers, section 30 ...	2	220	12	19	...	..	24	277	13	75	48	1	...	...	129	253	11	104	64	3	...	1,755	27·4	834	
II.—COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE.	9	...	...	...	1	143	...	153	14	32	73	3	...	...	7	115	24	80	57	9	...	3,288	57·7	479	
Cases referred by Deputy Commissioner under section 34 ...	...	...	...	...	...	116	...	116	...	12	103	...	...	...	..	115	1	37	36	1	...	1,149	31·3	...	
Do. Sessions Judge to High Court.	..	...	...	...	...	7	9	16	...	5	...	10	...	...	...	15	*1	13	12	1	...	351	29·	51	
Total ... 1891 ...	228	6,461	734	12,917	262	379	506	21,487	564	11,087	8,115	506	5	389	416	20,518	405	12,795	12,078	200	383	75,765	6·3	27,375	
Total ... 1890 ...	137	5,675	570	14,085	209	150	444	21,270	492	11,620	8,161	430	9	181	149	20,550	228	12,418	11,164	112	198	62,928	5·6	24,935	

*34 persons in transit have been excluded from this column.

PROVINCIAL STATEMENT No. IV.—(CRIMINAL).—*Statement showing the punishments inflicted by the various Crminal Tribunals in the exercise of original jurisdiction in the Courts of the Hyderabad Assigned Districts during the year* 1891.

Class of Tribunals.	Persons sentenced to											Persons ordered to find or give			Persons imprisoned in default of security for good behaviour.		Detail of punishments.																Whipped.			
			Transportation.		Imprisonment.				Fine.		Whipping.						Fine.							Imprisonment.												
					Rigorous.																			15 days and under.		6 months & under.		2 years and under.		7 years and under.		Above 7 years.				
	Death.	For life.	For a term.	Penal servitude.	With solitary confinement.	Without solitary confinement.	Simple.	Forfeiture of property.	With imprisonment.	Without imprisonment.	In addition to other punishment.	In lieu of other punishment.	Sureties of the peace.	Recognizance to keep the peace.	Sureties for good behaviour.	One year and under.	Three years and under.	Rs. 10 and under.	Rs. 50 and under.	Rs. 100 and under.	Rs. 500 and under.	Rs. 1,000 and under.	Above Rs. 1,000.	Total amount of fines imposed during the year.	Total amount of fines realized during the year.	Amount paid by way of compensation.	Rigorous.	Simple.	Rigorous.	Simple.	Rigorous.	Simple.	Rigorous.	Simple.	Rigorous.	Simple.	10 stripes and under.	20 stripes and under.	30 stripes and under.	Number of boys whose sentences were commuted to detention in a reformatory school.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41
MAGISTRATES.																								Rs. a p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.												
Village Officers	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Sub-Magistrates — Special Magistrates under Section 14 ...	...	...	...	...	2	120	27	...	54	1,829	...	32	...	...	...	...	...	1,750	131	2	...	...	...	7,630 15 6	7,054 15 0	517 11 6	22	26	100	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	11	15	6	
Sub-Magistrates — Honorary Magistrates sitting singly — 2nd and 3rd Class.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Sub-Magistrates — Honorary Magistrates sitting singly — 1st Class ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Sub-Magistrates — Stipendiary Magistrates sitting singly — 2nd and 3rd Class ...	...	...	...	..	69	445	63	...	230	4,140	20	165	...	...	...	...	...	3,550	781	29	10	...	...	35,890 4 9	33,779 3 3	1,990 12 0	65	33	449	30	...	...	...	...	...	...	23	125	37	
Sub-Magistrates — Stipendiary Magistrates sitting singly — 1st Class ...	...	...	...	..	131	245	18	..	161	669	4	45	31	9	38	32	...	577	215	23	14	1	...	14,401 3 3	9,915 3 10	564 7 0	15	10	160	7	222	1	11	...	..	...	4	32	13	
Sub-Magistrates — Benches of Magistrates ...	...	...	...	...	...	11	1	..	4	396	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	369	31	...	...	...	...	1,339 0 0	1,336 0 0	115 6 0	7	1	4	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
District and Divisional Magistrates' cases referred under Section 347, 349 ...	...	...	...	...	25	20	...	..	4	2	9	47	...	...	...	...	...	3	3	...	...	...	...	125 0 0	25 9 7	...	...	...	14	...	30	...	1	...	...	...	12	31	13	
Chief Magistrates of Districts — Under ordinary powers ...	...	...	...	...	21	14	3	...	11	56	5	6	17	...	12	10	...	57	7	3	...	...	..	640 9 0	587 8 0	14 11 0	2	2	13	...	28	1	2	...	...	...	...	7	4	
Chief Magistrates of Districts — Under special powers, Section 30...	...	...	...	...	20	27	1	..	5	...	2	1	...	...	...	...	...	2	1	1	1	...	...	1,611 0 0	665 1 9	...	...	...	6	1	14	...	27	...	...	...	...	...	3	
Sessions Cases	...	29	5	...	1	38	...	...	1	2	...	1	...	..	11	...	...	2	...	..	1	...	...	252 0 0	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	...	30	...	6	...	...	1	...	
Confirmation Cases	...	...	...	...	16	76	...	..	60	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	11	6	54	...	...	...	...	1,275 0 0	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	98	...	5	...	...	...	...	
Superior Courts	2	6	...	..	...	2	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	1	...	...	...	...	
Total (1891 ...	2	35	5	...	285	998	113	..	530	7,694	40	297	48	9	61	42	11	6,316	1,223	58	26	1	..	63,165 0 6	[illegible] 0 5	3,202 14 6	111	72	746	39	297	2	170	...	12	...	50	211	76	
Total (1890 ...	1	5	2	..	146	932	152	...	494	7,045	50	298	51	47	66	36	9	6,413	1,047	34	14	1	...	58,281 0 0	[illegible] 0	5,161 0 0	182	98	633	55	208	1	50	...	3	...	94	179	75	

STATEMENT No. V—CRIMINAL.—*Statement showing the result of appeal and revision in Criminal Cases in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts in the year* 1891.

Class of tribunals.	No of persons.												No. of cases.			Remarks.
	Total No. of appellants and applicants for revision including pending from previous year.	Died, escaped or transferred.	Appeals or applications rejected.	Sentence or order confirmed.	Sentence enhanced.	Sentence reduced or order otherwise altered.	Reversed.	Proceedings quashed.	New trial or further enquiry ordered.	Referred for revision to the High Court.	Total whose cases were disposed of including entries in columns 4 to 11.	Remaining at the end of the year.	Preferred during the year.	Disposed of during the year.	Remaining at the close of the year.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
Appeals.																
To Chief Magistrates of Districts ...	668	...	160	203	...	64	226	...	3	1	657	11	381	384	4	
„ Courts of Sessions	238	...	84	75	...	21	28	...	8	...	216	22	242	216	22	
„ Superior Courts. { By persons convicted. / By Government from judgment of acquittal. } ...	106	15	21	59	...	7	3	...	...	...	90	1	106	105	1	
Total { 1891 ...	1,012	15	265	337	...	92	257	...	11	1	963	34	729	705	2	
Total { 1890 ...	986	7	318	249	...	80	261	...	16	2	926	53	642	629	34	
Revision.																
By Chief Magistrates of Districts ...	95	...	57	3	...	...	..	...	19	12	91	4	41	37	4	
„ Courts of Sessions	43	...	38	36	...	...	..	...	6	35	115	...	67	67	...	
„ Superior Courts	105	8	113	...	25	1	23	2	24	...	188	7	163	156	7	
Total { 1891 ...	243	8	208	39	25	1	23	2	49	47	394	11	271	260	11	
Total { 1890 ...	153	...	204	24	8	1	25	2	14	66	344	20	237	251	5	
Grand Total { 1891 ...	1,255	23	473	376	25	93	280	2	60	48	1,357	45	1,000	965	38	
Grand Total { 1890 ...	1,139	7	522	273	8	81	286	2	30	68	1270	73	879	880	39	

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. VI.—*Statement showing the general result of Criminal Cases disposed of*

No.	Name of officer.	Designation.	For disposal.							
			No. pending from last year.		Filed during the year.		Received by transfer.		Total.	
			Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
	AMRAOTI DISTRICT.									
1	Colonel E. J. Gunthorpe ...	Deputy Commissioner ...	...	...	22	30	27	38	49	68
2	Captain Garrett	Assistant Commissioner ..	5	9	1	5	1	1	7	15
3	Mr. Simpson	Do. ...	...	...	149	315	16	9	165	324
4	„ Bunduji Janardan... ...	Extra Asst. Commissioner...	...	...	31	77	...	...	31	77
5	„ Waman Narayan	Do. ...	...	..	40	56	7	20	47	76
6	„ O'Grady	Do. ...	8	9	109	186	2	5	119	200
7	„ E. J. Sanjana	Do. ...	4	24	265	361	4	4	273	389
8	„ Mubarak Ali	Do. ...	1	7	108	166	8	3	117	176
9	„ Govind Naik	Attaché	...	...	185	305	12	12	197	317
10	„ Krishnaji Hari	Tehsildar	1	...	159	297	4	6	164	303
11	„ Krishnaji Anant	Do.	2	2	344	463	...	...	346	[illegible]
12	„ Govind Gangadhar... ...	Do.	...	...	453	679	1	6	454	[illegible]
13	„ Subrao Naidoo	Do.	...	...	28	48	...	...	[illegible]	
14	„ Trimbuk Ganesh	Do.	...	...	193	256	10	15	[illegible]	
15	„ Meer Fateh Ali	Special Magistrate ...	...	...	106	132	2	1	[illegible]	
16	„ Luxman Balaram	Do ...	...	...	116	139	...	...	116	[illegible]
17	„ Dorabji Cawasji	Do. ...	6	2	169	318	1	3	176	323
18	„ Mulharao	Do. ...	2	1	495	1,104	...	...	497	1,105
		Total ...	29	54	2,973	4,937	95	135	3,097	5,126
	BULDANA DISTRICT.									
1	Colonel H. C. A. Szczepanski ...	Deputy Commissioner ...	1	1	21	26	10	11	32	38
2	Mr. Mahomed Yassin Khan ...	Offg. Deputy Commissioner..	...	...	2	2	3	3	5	5
3	„ Banduji Janardan	Extra Asst. Commr., Buldana	6	5	42	50	4	10	52	65
4	„ Harbamji Rawaji	Assistant Commr., do ...	...	...	52	107	2	2	54	109
5	„ Hyder Ali Khan	Attaché, Buldana ..	...	...	73	127	2	2	75	129
6	Lieutenant A. G. Davidson ...	Asst. Commissioner, Buldana	...	...	59	95	...	...	59	95
7	Mr. E. Marshall	Extra Asst. Commr., Buldana	...	...	2	3	...	...	2	3
8	„ Ambadas Sunto	Do., Mehkar...	...	...	48	50	...	...	48	50
9	„ E. Marshall	Do., do.	...	...	1	...	...	...	1	...
10	„ E. Marshall	Do., Malkapur	1	...	50	68	...	...	51	68
11	„ Hyder Ali Khan ...	Attaché, Malkapur ...	...	...	15	21	1	3	16	24
12	„ Rustomji Faridonji ...	Asst. Commr., Balkapur ...	...	...	11	29	...	...	11	29
13	„ Yaswant Mahadeo... ...	Tehsildar, Chikhli ...	4	11	717	1,186	1	1	722	1,198
14	„ Balwant Bapuji	Do., Mehkar ...	...	...	374	562	...	...	374	562
15	„ Subrayaloo Naidoo ...	Do., do. ...	...	...	22	34	...	..	22	34
16	„ Vishnu Hari	Do., Malkapur ...	1	...	417	671	...	...	418	671
17	„ K. B. Kawaja Badruddin ...	Special Magistrate, Malkapur.	..	...	203	290	...	...	203	290
18	„ Nawab Mahomed Salamullah Khan	Do., Deulghat ...	...	...	97	234	2	5	99	239
		Total ...	13	17	2,206	3,555	25	37	2,244	3,609
	BASIM DISTRICT.									
1	Mr. C. A. W. Davies	Deputy Commissioner ...	1	1	51	129	24	36	76	166
2	Do., Hingoli ...	Do. ..	...	...	2	2	...	...	2	2
3	„ Pandit Suraj Narryan ...	Assistant Commissioner ...	...	...	3	16	1	1	4	17
4	„ Kesherao Jaikrishna ...	Extra Assistant Commissioner, Basim ..	1	3	60	83	5	9	66	95
5	Do. ...	Extra Assistant Commissioner, Pusad	1	1	3	3	1	6	5	10
6	„ Ajudhia Prasad	Do., do. ..	..	..	22	36	2	6	24	42
7	„ Ambadas Sunto	Extra Assistant Commissioner, Basim ..	...	...	5	14	...	...	5	14
8	„ Shapurji Virji	Officiating Extra Assistant Commissioner, Basim ...	...	...	22	51	8	21	30	72
9	„ C. Shamrao	Attaché, Basim ...	7	12	66	171	1	3	74	186
10	Do.	Attaché, Court, Pusad ...	...	...	38	60	...	...	38	60
11	„ Sadut Mirkhan	Tehsildar, Basim ...	...	...	369	485	11	30	380	515
12	„ Ganesh Govind	Tehsildar, Pusad ...	7	11	277	649	3	3	287	663
13	„ Luxman Gopal	Naib Tehsildar, Pusad ...	...	...	34	54	...	...	34	54
14	„ Mir Anwarali	Tehsildar, Mangrul ...	...	...	301	469	..	..	301	469
15	„ Syad Sha Amirulla ...	Special Magistrate, Basim ..	4	20	146	319	...	...	150	339
16	„ Yadvarao Anund Rao ...	Do., Umerkhed...	...	...	84	84	...	...	84	84
17	„ Narsing Rao Sadasheo Rao ...	Do., Pusad ...	...	...	122	122	...	...	122	122
		Total ...	21	48	1,605	2,747	56	115	1,682	2,910

CIAL.

by each officer in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts during the year 1891.

Disposed of.														Remaining at the end of the year.		Average duration of cases.			Remark
Acquitted or discharged.		Convicted.				Committed to Sessions Court.		Referred for enhanced punishment.		Died, escaped or transferred.		Total.				Cognizable and non-cognizable.			
		On regular trial.		On summary trial.															
Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases	Persons.	Cases	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases	Persons.	No. of cases.	Aggregate number of days occupied in trial.	Average number of days.	
12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31
7	8	18	27	...	...	2	2	1	1	21	30	49	68	...	...	25	487	19·5	
4	10	1	3	...	..	1	1	...	..	1	1	7	15	...	...	5	102	25·5	
130	253	29	53	...	...	...	...	4	5	2	13	165	324	...	...	159	1,153	9·9	
15	46	14	29	...	...	2	2	...	...	...	...	31	77	...	...	29	726	26·9	
45	32	8	10	10	12	8	8	...	...	...	...	41	62	6	14	33	390	12·6	
56	117	35	47	3	3	5	7	...	..	13	18	112	192	7	8	94	856	9·3	
70	154	19	25	150	157	12	15	...	...	4	8	255	359	18	30	239	2,280	9·7	
40	82	69	87	..	...	2	3	1	1	5	3	117	176	...	...	109	934	8·6	
107	219	68	78	...	...	...	...	4	6	18	14	197	317	...	...	175	668	4	
75	161	79	118	...	...	...	...	6	6	4	18	164	303	...	...	154	1,139	7·8	
99	192	242	266	...	...	.	...	...	...	4	6	345	464	1	1	341	2,714	8·4	
[illegible]	438	151	202	...	...	...	...	4	6	2	2	449	648	5	37	443	2,690	7·1	
[illegible]	[illegible]	12	17	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	28	48	...	...	28	178	6·6	
[illegible]	[illegible]	83	99	...	...	...	...	1	1	7	2	198	262	5	9	190	605	3·5	
[illegible]	[illegible]	74	97	...	...	...		...	...	...	...	105	140	3	5	105	389	4·1	
[illegible]	224	104	116	...	...	...	...	1	1	...	..	116	139	...	...	115	126	1·1	
[illegible]	[illegible]	77	124	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	167	307	9	16	167	1,071	7·7	
90	183	26	426	...	...	...	...	4	7	6	13	495	1,097	2	8	485	2,450	5·4	
239	651	24																	
1,404	2,802	1,329	1,824	163	172	82	38	26	34	87	128	3,041	4,998	56	128	2,896	18,958	7·7	
12	15	19	23	...	..	...	...	1	1	1	...	33	39	1	1	31	597	19·3	
...	...	2	2	...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	3	3	...	...	2	16	8·	
5	7	36	39	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	41	46	...	...	40	212	5·3	
11	18	36	59	3	6	2	6	...	...	...	...	52	89	...	...	49	746	15·	
20	55	61	75	...	...	3	27	...	...	4	11	88	168	...	...	80	789	9·7	
21	53	37	42	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	58	95	1	...	56	187	3·3	
2	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	3	...	...	2	44	22·	
23	23	21	22	...	...	3	4	...	...	...	...	47	49	...	...	31	256	8·3	
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	1	1	1	1	1	...	...	...	...	
24	37	24	28	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	49	66	...	...	48	275	5·7	
5	14	9	9	...	..	2	2	...	...	...	...	16	25	...	.	14	209	14·9	
5	22	4	5	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	10	28	3	2	9	83	9·2	
338	768	362	400	...	...	...	...	...	...	4	10	704	1,178	18	20	674	3,659	5·4	
183	334	182	222	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	6	368	562	...	...	357	1,299	3·6	
11	17	14	14	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	25	31	3	3	25	179	7·2	
164	349	247	312	...	...	...	...	2	3	2	4	416	668	2	3	390	1,777	4·5	
65	139	133	147	...	...	...	...	...	...	4	4	202	290	1	...	194	851	4·4	
56	175	40	60	...	...	...	...	1	2	2	2	99	239	...	...	96	480	5·8	
945	2,029	1,227	1,459	3	6	11	40	5	6	23	40	2,214	3,586	30	29	2,098	11,659	5·6	
18	42	32	51	...	...	5	23	13	35	7	11	75	162	1	4	49	1,066	21·8	
1	1	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	2	..	...	2	15	7·5	
2	12	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	4	4	17	...	...	3	49	16·3	
12	21	39	47	2	2	3	7	1	3	9	5	66	95	...	...	52	1,163	22·4	
..	...	2	2	1	1	..	...	...	...	2	7	5	10	...	...	3	27	9	
10	16	12	22	2	4	...	...	...	...	...	...	24	42	...	..	23	437	19	
...	1	2	3	...	...	1	6	...	...	...	...	3	10	2	4	2	12	6	
8	30	18	31	...	..	3	10	...	...	...	...	29	7	1	1	26	501	19·2	
23	90	49	89	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	7	74	186	...	...	72	1,313	18·2	
18	34	4	7	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	22	41	16	19	20	143	7·1	
82	188	292	320	...	...	...	...	1	1	3	6	378	515	2	...	371	1,434	3·9	
140	362	143	298	...	...	...	...	...	...	4	3	287	663	..	...	249	1,759	7·1	
6	13	27	36	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	5	34	54	...	...	33	105	3·2	
96	195	197	232	...	...	...	...	7	11	1	1	301	469	...	..	286	815	2·9	
97	227	38	72	...	...	...	...	5	6	10	34	150	339	...	...	103	1,088	10·6	
7	7	74	74	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	3	84	84	...	...	81	447	5·5	
...	...	122	122	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	122	122	...	...	122	122	1·	
520	1,239	1,053	1,438	5	7	12	46	27	56	43	96	1,660	2,882	22	28	1,497	10,496	7·	

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. VI—(CRIMINAL).—*Statement showing the general result of Criminal Cases disposed*

No.	Name of officer.	Designation.	For disposal.							
			No. pending from last year.		Filed during the year.		Received by transfer.		Total.	
			Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.
	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
	AKOLA DISTRICT.									
1	H. S. Nicholetts, Esq. ...	Deputy Commissioner ...	1	1	64	102	41	53	106	156
2	Mr. H. Godwin-Austen ...	Do. ...	...	...	1	1	6	9	7	10
3	„ Mahomed Yaseen Khan ...	Special Asst. Commissioner..	...	...	6	14	1	1	7	15
4	„ C. E. Biddulph ...	Assistant Commissioner ...	...	...	1	1	...	...	1	1
5	„ Rustomji Faridoonji ...	Do. ...	1	...	62	117	4	9	67	126
6	Captain R. D. C. Davies ...	Do. ...	9	10	15	23	...	...	24	33
7	Mr. Maniksha Rattanji ...	Extra Asst. Commissioner ..	...	...	38	85	8	6	46	91
8	„ V. N. Dandekar ...	Do. ...	...	...	29	37	7	6	36	43
9	„ Maniksha Rattanji ..	Do. ...	2	13	14	23	2	8	18	44
10	„ Ganesh Bapuji ...	Do. ...	...	...	47	63	15	31	62	94
11	„ Deorao Jaikrishna ...	Do. ...	1	1	84	101	8	7	93	109
12	„ Ganesh Bapuji ..	Do. ...	...	...	14	12	5	24	[illegible]	
13	„ Azizuddin ...	Do. ..	...	...	213	405	6	[illegible]		
14	„ Eknath Moreshwar ...	Do. ...	...	...	95	195	17	5	94	[illegible]
15	„ Ganesh Bapuji ...	Tahsildar ...	...	...	19	43	...	[illegible]		
16	„ Abdul Kadus ...	Do. ...	...	..	92	137	1	[illegible]		
17	„ Eknath Moreshwar ...	Do. ...	...	...	6	3	5	15	11	18
18	„ Krishnaji Mahadeo ...	Do. ...	...	...	208	380	3	15	211	398
19	„ Narayan Kesheo ...	Do. ...	2	8	204	411	1	...	207	419
20	„ Eknath Moreshwar ...	Do. ...	...	...	48	81	5	5	53	86
21	„ Vithuji Narayan ...	Do. ...	...	...	64	168	5	4	69	172
22	„ Shabaz Khan ...	Do. ...	...	...	153	282	1	...	154	282
23	„ Waman Ganesh ..	Do. ...	...	...	185	307	...	...	185	307
24	„ Maruti Kudtaji ...	Special Magistrate ...	...	...	291	498	...	...	291	498
25	„ Mahomed Sarfaraz Ali ...	Do. ..	..	..	82	194	... 1	3	83	197
26	Bench of Magistrates ...	Akot ...	...	...	538	1,056	...	...	538	1,056
27	Do. ...	Khamgaon ...	...	4	264	446	...	...	265	450
		Total ...	7	37	2,837	5,197	142	238	2,996	5,472
	ELLICHPUR DISTRICT.									
1	Colonel H. DeP. Rennick ...	Deputy Commissioner ...	...	...	58	69	9	11	67	80
2	Mr. H. Godwin-Austen ...	Officiating do. ...	...	...	10	19	7	14	17	33
3	„ H. Godwin-Austen ...	Assistant Commissioner ...	...	...	103	165	7	17	110	182
4	„ H. Godwin-Austen ...	Do. Daryapur...	...	...	1	1	...	...	1	1
5	„ Pandit Suraj Narain ...	Do. Ellichpur...	...	...	20	23	...	...	20	23
6	„ Haider Ali ...	Attaché do. ...	1	1	37	40	...	...	38	41
7	„ Haider Ali ...	Do. Daryapur ...	...	...	2	2	...	...	2	2
8	„ Govind Naik ...	Honorary Attaché ...	...	...	18	19	...	...	18	19
9	„ V. N. Dandekar ...	Extra Asst. Commr. ...	...	...	2	2	2	5	4	7
10	„ R. Maegill ...	Do. ...	...	...	104	159	7	21	111	180
11	„ Shek Ismail ...	Offg. Extra Asst. Commr....	...	...	15	45	...	...	15	45
12	„ Girrao Ramchandra ...	Tahsildar, Ellichpur ...	...	...	361	623	...	...	361	623
13	„ Shapurji Virji ...	Do. Daryapur ...	1	6	64	120	...	..	65	126
14	„ Sheshachellum ...	Do. do. ...	...	...	67	129	2	5	69	134
15	„ Ahmed Ali ...	Tehsildar, Melghat ...	1	1	71	109	...	...	72	110
16	„ Amjut Hussen ...	Special Magistrate, Ellichpur ..	..	..	197	202	...	...	197	202
17	„ Bhagwant Shunker Rao ...	Do. Daryapur ...	...	...	32	32	...	...	32	32
18	„ Raghupat Hanman Rao ...	Do. Unjangan ...	...	...	120	120	...	...	120	120
19	„ Ahmed Ali ...	Do. Chandur ...	...	...	55	55	...	...	55	55
		Total ...	3	8	1,337	1,934	34	73	1,374	2,015
	WUN DISTRICT.									
1	R. D. Hare, Esq. ...	Deputy Commissioner ...	2	4	...	...	...	...	2	4
2	A. Elliott, Esq. ...	Do. ..	...	...	28	97	9	9	37	106
3	F. Prideaux, Esq. ...	Assistant Commissioner ...	2	12	131	171	...	...	133	183
4	Mr. C. H. Price ...	Extra Asst. Commr. ...	...	...	14	41	...	...	14	41
5	„ P. Govindraz ...	Do. ...	6	8	58	103	...	...	4	111
6	„ Safdur Ali Beg ...	Do. ...	5	5	42	45	...	...	47	50
7	„ N. P. Joshi ...	Tahsildar, Yeotmal ...	...	...	200	331	5	5	205	336
8	„ B. B. Sule ...	Do. Wun ...	3	14	278	447	...	...	28	461
9	„ Govind Rao Yeswant Rao ...	Do. Darwa ...	6	12	214	380	1	1	221	393
10	„ Sadaseo Bashker ...	Do. Kelapur ...	...	..	69	95		...	69	95
11	„ Vittaji Narrain ...	Do. do ...	...	...	74	165	...	...	74	165
12	„ Roshen Khan ...	Special Magistrate ...	...	...	125	125	...	...	125	125
		Total ...	24	55	1,233	2,000	15	15	1,272	2,070
		Grand Total 1891 ...	107	219	12,191	20,370	367	613	12,665	21,202
		Grand Total 1890 ...	62	128	11,934	20,539	357	515	12,353	21,182

CIAL.

by each officer in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts during the year 1891.

Disposed of.														Remaining at the end of the year.		Average duration of cases.			Remarks.
Acquitted or discharged.		Convicted.				Committed to Sessions Court.		Referred for enhanced punishment.		Died, escaped, or transferred.		Total.				Cognizable and non-cognizable.			
		On regular trial.		On summary trial.												No. of cases.	Aggregate number of days occupied in trial.	Average number of days.	
Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.				
12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31
15	34	51	74	4	4	2	2	9	9	24	27	105	150	...	...	69	1,673	24·2	
1	1	5	8	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	7	10	1	6	6	64	10·7	
3	5	1	1	1	1	...	...	...	...	2	8	7	15	...	...	5	98	19·6	
...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	...	...	1	2	27·	
38	93	25	28	...	...	...	...	1	1	3	4	67	126	...	...	60	325	5·4	
7	8	5	6	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	12	14	...	...	12	351	29·2	
15	35	33	63	1	2	1	1	...	...	3	4	53	105	5	5	49	1,560	31·8	
7	11	14	14	9	11	3	4	...	...	...	...	33	40	3	3	30	242	8·1	
5	24	8	10	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	7	15	41	...	...	13	438	33·7	
27	36	26	29	...	...	4	11	...	...	8	21	65	97	...	...	52	1,663	3·2	
28	41	26	27	37	38	1	1	...	...	...	...	92	107	1	2	91	883	9·7	
5	4	10	30	...	...	..	...	...	...	4	2	19	36	...	...	14	215	15·4	
99	266	103	134	...	...	...	...	6	7	2	1	210	408	...	...	202	684	3·4	
50	111	45	58	...	...	...	...	2	2	11	36	108	267	13	27	87	1,005	22·4	
5	7	8	10	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	13	17	...	...	13	70	5·4	
[illegible]	126	8	11	...	...	...	...	...	...	7	26	99	163	...	...	65	114	1·8	
[illegible]	3	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	9	15	11	18	...	...	1	1	1	
[illegible]	[illegible]	95	143	...	...	...	...	15	18	4	4	211	398	...	...	190	1,003	5·3	
[illegible]	[illegible]	98	164	...	...	...	...	5	9	5	10	207	419	...	...	188	676	3·6	
[illegible]	4[illegible]	16	16	...	...	...	...	...	...	9	6	49	71	..	...	38	158	4·2	
49	143	23	42	...	...	...	...	1	2	...	...	73	187	...	...	70	287	4·1	
105	212	43	64	...	...	...	...	1	1	1	1	150	278	4	4	144	1,119	7·8	
82	174	101	130	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	2	184	306	1	1	178	538	3	
140	284	140	204	...	...	...	...	2	2	9	8	291	498	...	...	254	525	2·1	
56	149	26	48	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	82	197	1	...	82	444	5·4	
348	809	181	236	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	15	532	1,060	6	5	510	2,724	5·3	
95	271	162	172	...	...	...	..	3	3	5	4	265	450	..	...	254	905	3·6	
1,486	3,365	1,254	1,723	52	56	11	19	45	54	113	202	2,961	5,419	35	53	2,678	17,767	6·6	
9	10	11	17	42	47	...	...	...	...	5	6	67	80	...	...	62	570	9·2	
8	21	2	3	6	8	1	1	...	...	...	...	17	33	...	...	15	258	16·1	
42	86	30	37	28	32	2	3	...	...	8	24	110	182	...	...	92	841	9·	
...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	...	...	1	19	19·	
5	5	15	18	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	20	28	...	...	20	96	4·8	
2	3	36	38	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	38	41	...	...	38	157	4·1	
1	1	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	2	...	...	2	16	8·	
1	2	17	17	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	18	19	...	...	17	17	1·	
...	...	...	...	2	2	..	...	...	...	2	5	4	7	...	...	2	2	1·	
26	51	9	11	46	60	1	1	...	...	5	5	87	128	24	52	77	315	4·	
5	15	4	21	...	...	...	..	6	9	...	...	15	4	...	...	8	106	13·2	
125	280	225	321	...	...	...	...	1	1	3	11	354	613	7	10	289	1,405	4·9	
40	89	21	30	...	...	...	...	1	1	3	6	65	126	..	...	40	197	4·9	
45	102	22	30	...	...	...	..	...	...	1	2	69	134	...	...	38	212	5·6	
20	41	49	58	...	...	...	...	...	..	1	8	70	107	2	3	62	391	6·3	
6	6	191	196	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	197	202	...	...	197	374	1·9	
1	1	31	31	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	32	32	...	...	32	37	1·2	
...	...	120	120	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	120	120	...	...	120	120	1·	
...	...	55	55	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	55	55	...	...	55	66	1·2	
337	713	840	1,005	124	149	4	5	8	11	28	67	1,341	1,950	33	65	1,167	5,200	4·4	
...	...	1	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...		1	2	...	...	1	94	94·	
20	28	14	14	...	...	...	...	4	61	...	5	38	108	...	...	30	282	9·4	
26	65	101	112	...	...	...	...	..	...	6	6	133	183	...	...	123	411	3·3	
7	10	6	6	...	...	1	25	...	...	...	...	14	41	...	...	13	156	12·	
32	67	26	34	3	4	3	6	...	...	...	...	64	111	...	...	61	858	14·	
17	19	25	27	...	...	2	2	...	...	1	1	46	49	1	1	42	397	9·5	
78	176	123	156	...	...	...	...	2	2	1	1	204	335	1	1	199	929	4·6	
111	220	162	173	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	274	394	7	67	254	1,668	6·6	
101	178	116	211	...	...	...	...	1	1	3	3	221	393	...	...	212	840	4·	
24	33	45	62	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	69	95	...	...	57	447	7·8	
32	94	36	61	...	...	...	...	2	3	...	...	70	158	4	7	64	162	2·5	
...	...	125	125	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	125	125	...	...	125	653	5·2	
448	890	780	983	3	4	6	33	9	67	13	17	1,259	1,994	13	76	1,181	6,897	5·8	
5,140	11,038	6,483	8,432	350	394	76	181	120	228	307	550	12,476	20,823	189	379	11,517	70,977	5·9	
5,227	11,596	6,404	8,544	169	190	33	51	76	91	337	491	12,246	20,963	107	219	11,110	61,138	5·5	

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. VII—(CRIMINAL).—*Statement showing in detail further particulars and distribution of criminal work in the several districts of the Hyderabad Assigned Districts during the year* 1891.

No.	Districts.	For disposal.								Disposed of.										Average duration.	Number disposed of by European Magistrates.		Number disposed of by Native Magistrates.		Average number disposed of by each officer.		Remarks.
		Number pending from last year.		Filed during the year.		Received by transfer.		Total.		Total number disposed of during the year.		Percentage of cases and persons.															
												Acquitted or discharged.		Convicted.		Committed to Sessions Court and those referred for enhanced punishment.		Died escaped or transferred.									
		Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases	Persons.		Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28
1	Akola ...	17	37	2,837	5,197	142	238	2,996	5,472	2,961	5,419	50·2	62·1	44·1	32·8	2·	1·4	3·7	3·7	6·6	89	136	2,703	5,008	103·4	190·5	
2	Buldana ...	13	17	2,206	3,555	25	37	2,244	3,609	2,214	3,580	42·7	56·7	55·6	41·	·7	1·3	1·	1·	5·6	143	204	2,071	3,376	170·3	275·4	
3	Basim ...	21	48	1,605	2,747	56	115	1,682	2,910	1,660	2,882	31·3	43·	63·8	50·1	2·4	3·6	2·5	3·3	7·	77	164	1,583	2,718	119·	206·	
4	Amraoti ...	29	54	2,973	4,937	95	135	3,097	5,126	3,041	4,998	46·1	56·1	49·1	39·9	1·9	1·4	2·9	2·6	7·1	283	521	2,613	4,277	160·9	266·6	
5	Ellichpur ...	3	8	1,337	1,934	34	73	1,374	2,015	1,341	1,950	25·1	36·6	71·9	59·2	·9	·8	2·1	3·4	4·4	264	389	1,041	1,473	68·7	98·5	
6	Wun ...	24	55	1,233	2,000	15	15	1,272	2,070	1,259	1,994	35·6	44·6	62·2	49·5	1·2	5·	1·	·9	6·4	162	221	1,069	1,656	102·6	156·4	
Total	1891.	107	219	12,191	20,370	367	613	12,665	21,202	12,476	20,823	41·2	53·	54·8	42·4	1·6	2·	2·4	2·6	6·3	1,018	1,635	11,080	18,508	151·2	251·7	
	1890.	62	128	11,934	20,539	357	515	12,353	21,182	12,246	20,963	42·7	55·3	53·7	41·7	[illegible]	[illegible]	2·8	2·3	5·5	1,034	1,718	10,766	18,612	100·	172·3	

PROVINCIAL.

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. VIII—(CRIMINAL).—*Statement of criminal cases filed and disposed of under [illegible] of Act X of 1882 by the Deputy Commissioners in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts during the year 1*

No	Districts.	Number of cases and persons.				Total.		Number of cases and persons.				Number of persons.		Total number of cases tried.	Total number of persons tried.	Results of trial.							Penal results.											Remarks.
		Remaining from last year.		Filed during the year				Tried during the year.		Remaining at the close of the year.						Convicted.		Discharged or acquitted in the foregoing cases.	Acquitted.		Discharged		Only fined.	Flogging only	Imprisoned.								Transported beyond seas.	Total punished.	
		Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Died.	Escaped or transferred or referred.			Cases.	Persons.		Cases	Persons.	Cases	Persons.			For and under six months.	For one year.	For two years.	For three years.	For four years.	For five years.	For six year	For seven years	Total Imprisoned.		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	2	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37
1	Akola ...	...	...	39	63	39	63	28	47	1	6	...	10	28	47	18	23	...	...	6	10	18	..	1	4	1	4	4	9	...	...	...	22	...	23	
2	Buldana ...	...	...	13	16	13	16	10	14	1	1	...	1	10	14	4	6	...	1	3	5	5	...	..	1	1	3	1	...	...	...	...	6		6	
3	Basim ...	...	...	32	105	32	105	11	37	1	4	...	64	11	37	4	12	14	...	...	7	11	...		...	...	2	8	2	...	...	...	12		12	
4	Amraoti ...	...	...	3	7	3	7	2	6	...	...	...	1	2	6	1	5	...	1	1	...	...	..	.	...	...	3	2	...	...	...	...	5	...	5	
5	Ellichpur ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
6	Wun ...	1	2	16	84	17	86	13	20	...	...	5	61	13	20	3	3	...	3	3	7	14	...	...	2	...	...	1	...	...	...	3	...	3	
Total	1891.	1	2	103	275	104	277	64	124	3	11	5	137	64	124	30	49	14	5	13	29	48	...	1	7	2	12	16	11	...	...	...	48	...	49	
	1890.	2	2	70	114	72	116	51	86	1	2	...	28	51	86	31	39	10	3	11	17	126	1	...	6	2	7	16	6	...	...	...	38	...	39	

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. IX—(CRIMINAL).—*Statement showing the average detention of witnesses in criminal cases in the several districts of the Hyderabad Assigned Districts during the year* 1891.

No.	Districts.	Number of witnesses who attended.	Period of detention.											Number of witnesses examined.	Number of witnesses allowed to go unexamined.	Remarks.
			For 1 day	2 days.	3 days.	4 days.	5 days.	6 days.	7 days.	8 days.	9 days.	10 days.	Up to one month.	Total.			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
1	Akola	11,484	8,996	1,702	469	119	130	16	10	9	9	19	5	11,484	7,631	3,853	
2	Buldana	7,406	6,170	951	165	34	42	16	9	15	4	...	...	7,406	3,790	3,616	
3	Basim	5,594	4,030	1,227	279	45	8	...	5	...	...	...	...	5,594	3,394	2,200	
4	Amraoti	8,691	6,949	1,340	278	58	50	10	5	1	..	...	...	8,691	6,952	1,839	
5	Ellichpur	4,031	3,567	390	49	25	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	4,031	2,339	1,692	
6	Wun	4,273	3,510	685	64	14	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	4,273	2,839	1,434	
7	Civil & Sessions Judge, H. A. D.	743	241	250	78	21	...	43	17	10	...	83	...	743	479	264	
	Superior Court	152	74	21	...	57	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	152	51	101	
	Total {1891 ...	42,374	33,537	6,566	1,382	373	230	85	46	35	13	102	5	42,374	27,375	14,999	
	Total {1890 ...	38,723	31,976	5,366	956	285	90	32	16	2	...	...	...	38,723	24,935	13,788	

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. X—(CRIMINAL).—*Statement showing the amount of fines imposed and collected, &c., in the several districts of the Hyderabad Assigned Districts during the year* 1891.

No.	Districts.	Balance at close of last year.	Fine imposed during the year.	Total.	Collected during the year.	Amount relinquished by lapse of time.	Amount paid by way of compensation or refunded.	Balance remaining at close of the year.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
		Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
1	Akola ...	4,142 1 0	15,107 12 3	19,249 13 3	12,797 14 6	1,153 14 3	1,670 1 3	5,298 0 6	
2	Buldana ...	2,122 12 0	8,345 4 6	10,468 0 6	8,604 12 9	1,071 13 0	522 5 9	791 6 9	
3	Basim ...	4,736 0 9	8,846 2 0	13,582 2 9	7,530 5 0	1,146 0 0	304 10 0	4,905 13 9	
4	Amraoti ...	4,436 7 10	13,905 8 0	18,341 15 10	11,249 5 3	2,525 4 7	1,995 12 6	3,957 6 0	
5	Ellichpur ...	1,508 14 3	7,504 14 6	9,013 12 9	6,194 0 6	676 14 3	304 13 0	2,127 14 0	
6	Wun	3,573 3 11	7,928 7 3	11,501 11 2	6,006 7 5	1,102 8 1	1,026 6 0	4,392 11 8	
	Total {1891 ...	20,519 7 9	61,638 0 6	82,157 8 3	52,382 13 5	7,676 6 [illegible]	5,824 0 6	21,473 4 8	Rs. 625 were remitted in appeal before recovery of the fine.
	Total {1890 ...	24,009 15 0	53,353 1 11	77,363 0 11	50,654 11 8	5,657 5 [illegible]	827 13 0	20,520 7 9	Rs. 530-8-0 were remitted in appeal before recovery of the fine.

UD ICIAL STATEMENT No. XI—(CRIMINAL).—*Statement of Sessions Cases filed and disposed of by the Judicial Commissioner and the Civil and Sessions Judge, Hyderabad Assigned Districts, during the year* 1891.

	Offences.	Remaining from last		Received by transfer.		Filed during the		Total.		Decided.										Pending.		Sentenced to be											
										Convicted.		Acquitted in the foregoing.	Acquitted.		Transferred.		Total.					Hanged.	Transported for life.	Imprisoned for 14 years and under.	10 ,,	7 ,,	5 ,,	4 ,,	3 ,,	2 ,,	1 ,,	Imprisoned for 6 months and under.	Total punished.
		Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.															
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32
Judl. Comr., H. A. D.	Murder and abetment of murder, 302	...	...	3	3	...	...	3	3	2	2	...	1	1	...	...	3	3	...	...	...	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2
	Voluntarily causing hurt in committing robbery, 394	...	...	1	1	...	...	1	1	...	...	...	1	1	...	...	1	1	...	..	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Culpable homicide, 304	...	...	1	2	...	...	1	2	...	...	...	1	2	...	...	1	2	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Theft, 379	...	...	1	1	...	...	1	1	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1
	Forgery, 467	...	...	1	2	...	...	1	2	1	2	...	...	...	...	...	1	2	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	2
	To al ...	...	...	7	9	...	...	7	9	4	5	...	3	4	...	...	7	9	...	...	...	3	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	5
Civil and Sessions Judge, H. A. D.	Murder and abetment of murder	3	3	...	...	19	23	22	26	10	13	2	6	7	3	5	19	27	3	9	7	6	...	...	...	..	...	..	...	...	...	13
	Attempt to murder, Section 307	1	1	...	...	2	2	3	3	2	2	...	1	1	...	...	3	3	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	2
	Unnatural offences, Section 377	...	...	...	...	3	3	3	3	3	3	...	...	...	...	...	3	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	3
	Forgery, 467	...	...	...	...	1	2	1	2	...	...	...	...	...	1	2	1	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Robbery	...	...	...	...	4	8	4	8	3	7	...	...	...	1	1	4	8	...	..	...	1	...	...	1	2	...	3	...	..	...	7
	Cheating, Section 420	...	...	...	...	1	1	1	1	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Culpable homicide, 304	...	...	...	...	5	9	5	9	3	4	...	...	..	1	2	4	6	1	3	...	1	...	1	...	2	...	...	...	...	...	4
	Possessing instrument for the purpose of using the same for counterfeiting, i.e., Sections 235, 232, 243... ...	1	5	..	...	...	...	1	5	1	4	1	...	...	...	...	1	5	...	...	...	3	...	...	1	...	..	...	...	...	...	4
	Theft, 379 and 380	...	...	...	...	13	13	13	13	10	10	...	1	1	...	...	11	11	2	2	...	7	...	...	1	2	...	...	...	...	...	10
	Administering stupefying drugs, 338	...	...	...	...	2	3	2	3	2	2	1	...	...	...	...	2	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	2
	Kidnapping a child, 366	...	...	...	...	1	2	1	2	..	...	...	...	...	1	2	1	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Abducting a child with intent to take property from his person, 366	...	...	...	...	2	2	2	2	2	2	...	...	...	...	...	2	2	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	2
	Rape, 376	...	...	...	...	2	2	2	2	1	1	...	...	...	1	1	2	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	1
	Dacoity and abetment	...	...	...	...	5	46	5	46	2	21	9	2	10	...	...	4	40	1	6	...	13	...	2	4	1	...	1	...	...	...	21
	Giving false evidence, 193	...	...	..	...	2	2	2	2	2	2	...	...	...	...	...	2	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	...	...	...	2
	Criminal breach of trust by a public servant, 409 ...	...	...	...	...	1	1	1	1	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	1
	Using as gunine a forged document, 471	...	...	...	...	1	1	1	1	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1
	House-breaking, 457 and 454	...	...	...	...	6	6	6	6	5	5	...	1	1	...	...	6	6	...	...	...	2	2	...	1	...	...	...	1	...	...	5
	Hurt 323	...	...	...	...	1	1	1	1	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	1
	Grievous hurt, 325	...	...	...	...	3	3	3	3	2	2	...	...	...	...	...	2	2	1	3	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	1	...	...	...	2
	Causing hurt on grave and sudden provocation, sec. 334 ..	...	...	..	...	1	2	1	2	1	2	...	...	...	...	...	1	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2
	Total ... 1891 ..	5	9	...	...	75	144	80	153	52	83	13	10	19	*9	14	71	129	9	24	7	34	2	4	12	9	...	9	2	1	...	83
	Total ... 1890 ..	5	8	...	...	32	51	37	59	23	28	...	9	21	...	1	32	50	5	9	7	4	2	3	1	1	1	3	4	...	2	28

Extract from the Proceedings of the Resident at Hyderabad, No. 2958, dated Hyderabad Residency, the 2nd September 1892.

READ—

The Report on the Administration of Criminal Justice in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts during the year 1891.

ORDER.

The report was submitted within the prescribed time.

2. *Summary dismissal of complaints.*—The variations in the number of offences reported do not call for remark, but the comparisons instituted in the Officiating Judicial Commissioner's paragraphs 5, 6 and 7 of the number of complaints summarily dismissed in the Ellichpur district and in other districts during the year of report, and in the same district in the preceding year, indicate that some special cause must have been at work. The Judicial Commissioner should inquire and satisfy himself whether the results brought to notice are due to peculiar procedure or to other idiosyncracy on the part of the Deputy Commissioner in charge of the district during the period in question, or whether there is any other explanation. The Resident agrees with the Judicial Commissioner's conclusion that in the absence of any adequate explanation the dismissals under section 203, Criminal Procedure Code, in the Ellichpur district were excessive. The manner in which sections 203 and 560 of the Criminal Procedure Code are worked in any district affords an admirable test of the exercise of a sound magisterial discretion. In the Resident's judgment Magistrates ought to give a careful hearing to what the complainant has to say when he institutes his complaint, and to make a judicious use of the power of investigation conferred by section 202, Criminal Procedure Code, before passing an order of dismissal. Cases finally brought on the file after the exercise of precautions of this nature ought not to afford much scope for the application of section 560. In next year's report special mention should be made of the number of cases in which resort has been had to the right of appeal allowed by the last mentioned section.

Paragraphs 5, 6, 7 and 18 of the report.

3. *Security for good behaviour.*—From the figures abstracted in table VIII it appears that, in cases relating to the giving of security for good behaviour, 92 persons were disposed of and 61 convicted, against 68 and 47 in 1890, and judging from the district reports the Resident does not think that District Magistrates have overlooked the remarks made in the review of the Criminal Justice Reports for 1889 and 1890. Moreover, High Court Circular No. IV of 1891, which directs that the District Magistrate should as a rule take up all cases falling under sections 109 and 110, Criminal Procedure Code, was in operation only for the last six months of the year. The obstacle against which District Officers have to contend in working section 110 is the difficulty of obtaining evidence; but this difficulty does not affect section 109 in anything like the same degree. Mr. Obbard's observations in paragraphs 16 and 17 are nevertheless worthy of attention, for sections 109 and 110 ought not to be worked for purposes of punishment and the law expressly provides "that the amount of every bond shall be fixed with due regard to the circumstances of the case and shall not be excessive."

Paragraphs 15, 16 and 17 of the report.

4. ***Public nuisances.***—The extraordinary increase in nuisance cases in the Buldana District should have been explained.

Paragraph 19 of the report.

5. *Disposal of work.*—Deputy Commissioners disposed of 299 cases against 266 in the preceding year, equivalent to a percentage of 2·5 compared with 2·3 of the whole number of cases dealt with, while Assistant Commissioners disposed of only 608 cases compared with 884. The Resident thinks that these figures do not re-

Paragraphs 23 to 34 of the report.

present an adequate measure of magisterial work by the officers in question. Every really important criminal case ought to be dealt with by the District Magistrate or by the Senior Assistant Commissioner, and to enable the latter to act as the District Magistrate's lieutenant in these cases he should be relieved as far as possible of civil judicial work. With regard to the number of cases disposed of by District Magistrates under section 30, Criminal Procedure Code, the Resident is inclined to think that the time has arrived for abolishing the exercise of jurisdiction under this section, at any rate in the two districts of Akola and Amraoti. The establishment of the Court of the Sessions Judge, the facilities for the disposal of serious criminal cases which that Court affords, and the general orderly character of the inhabitants of the districts in question, all indicate that there is no longer sufficient reason for investing the District Magistrate with the exceptional powers admissible under section 30. The Resident would be glad if the Commissioner and Judicial Commissioner would consider the matter at their leisure and submit proposals, bearing in mind, of course, the question whether the additional work imposed on the Sessions Judge will be excessive. In this connection it may be observed that the work of the Sessions Judge shows a remarkable increase compared with that of the preceding year, and Mr. Plowden regrets that the Judicial Commissioner has not attempted an examination of the circumstances which have led to it, and which seem to have been of a somewhat exceptional character.

6. *Punishments.*—The Resident is glad to notice that attention has been paid to the observations recorded in previous reviews, and that sentences of transportation on habitual offenders have been passed more frequently, and that petty sentences of imprisonment have diminished in number. The amount of fine to be imposed in any particular case requires considerable discrimination, based upon a knowledge of the circumstances of the people of the country, which can only be acquired after a long experience of and residence amongst them. The fact that so large a proportion as 12·5 per cent. of the fines imposed has been written off as irrecoverable, indicates either that the amounts imposed were excessive in a large number of cases, or that there has been laxity in the measures taken for recovery. As regards proceedings against habitual offenders and the operation of High Court Circular III of 1891, the fact that Messrs. Nicholetts and Davies and Colonel Gunthorpe have dealt adequately with offenders of this class is creditable to those officers. The District Magistrates of Ellichpur, Wun and Buldana should be called upon to furnish full information as to the manner in which habitual offenders have been punished in their respective districts, and defects—if any—in their procedure should be explained to them for future guidance. The instructions in Circular No. III are clear, and compliance with them must be insisted on.

Paragraphs 38 to 58 of the report.

7. *Appellate jurisdiction.*—The several Appellate Courts disposed practically of the entire business before them, for there were only 27 appeals pending at the close of the year. And the appeals were heard and determined with reasonable promptitude. These results are very satisfactory, and so are those of the inspections of proceedings of Subordinate Magistrates. It is noticed that the variations in working referred to in paragraph 62 have the Judicial Commissioner's attention.

Paragraphs 59 to 62 of the report.

8. *Notice of Officers.*—The Resident accepts the opinion recorded in paragraph 72 of the report as to the satisfactory work done by the officers named, and he desires to convey his acknowledgments to Colonel Mackenzie and Mr. Obbard who shared the office of Judicial Commissioner during the year of report, for their careful supervision over the judicial business of the province.

(By order.)

G. R. IRWIN,

Secretary for Berar.